Full Download: https://testbanklive.com/download/managerial-accounting-tools-for-business-decision-making-6th-edition-weygan

CHAPTER 3

Process Costing

ASSIGNMENT CLASSIFICATION TABLE

Learn	ing Objectives	Questions	Brief Exercises	Do It!	Exercises	A Problems	B Problems
1.	Understand who uses process cost systems.	1, 2, 20		1	1		
2.	Explain the similarities and differences between job order cost and process cost systems.	2, 3, 4, 5		1	1		
3.	Explain the flow of costs in a process cost system.	6			3	1A	1B
4.	Make the journal entries to assign manufacturing costs in a process cost system.	6, 7	1, 2, 3	2	2, 4	1A	1B
5.	Compute equivalent units.	10, 11, 12, 13	4, 9	3	3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15	2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A	2B, 3B, 4B, 5B, 6B
6.	Explain the four steps necessary to prepare a production cost report.	8, 9, 14, 15, 18	5, 6, 7, 8	4	3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19		2B, 3B, 4B, 5B
7.	Prepare a production cost report.	16, 17, 19	11	4	7, 12, 13	2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A	2B, 3B, 4B, 5B, 6B
*8.	Compute equivalent units using the FIFO method.	21, 22	10, 11, 12		16, 17, 18, 19, 20	7A	7B

^{*}Note: All asterisked Questions, Exercises, and Problems relate to material contained in the appendix to the chapter.

ASSIGNMENT CHARACTERISTICS TABLE

Problem Number	Description	Difficulty Level	Time Allotted (min.)
1A	Journalize transactions.	Moderate	20–30
2A	Complete four steps necessary to prepare a production cost report.	Simple	30–40
3A	Complete four steps necessary to prepare a production cost report.	Simple	30–40
4A	Assign costs and prepare production cost report.	Moderate	20–30
5A	Determine equivalent units and unit costs and assign costs.	Moderate	20–30
6A	Compute equivalent units and complete production cost report.	Moderate	15–25
*7A	Determine equivalent units and unit costs and assign costs for processes; prepare production cost report.	Moderate	30–40
1B	Journalize transactions.	Moderate	20–30
2B	Complete four steps necessary to prepare a production cost report.	Simple	30–40
3B	Complete four steps necessary to prepare a production cost report.	Simple	30–40
4B	Assign costs and prepare production cost report.	Moderate	20–30
5B	Determine equivalent units and unit costs and assign costs.	Moderate	20–30
6B	Compute equivalent units and complete production cost report.	Moderate	15–25
*7B	Determine equivalent units and unit costs and assign costs for processes; prepare production cost report.	Moderate	30–40

(For Instructor Use Only)

Correlation Chart between Bloom's Taxonomy, Learning Objectives and End-of-Chapter Exercises and Problems

Learning Objective	Knowledge	Compre	ehension		Application	1	Analysis	Synthesis	Evaluation
Understand who uses process cost systems.	Q3-1 Q3-2	E3-1 Q3-20	DI3-1						
Explain the similarities and differences between job order cost and process cost systems.		Q3-4 Q3-5 E3-1	DI3-1						
3. Explain the flow of costs in a process cost system.	Q3-6			E3-3 P3-1A		P3-1B	P3-1A P3-1B		
Make the journal entries to assign manufacturing costs in a process cost system.	Q3-6			Q3-7 BE3-1 BE3-2	BE3-3 DI3-2 E3-2	E3-4 P3-1A P3-1B	P3-1A P3-1B		
5. Compute equivalent units.	Q3-10 Q3-11			Q3-12 Q3-13 BE3-4 BE3-9 DI3-3 E3-3 E3-5 E3-6 E3-7 E3-8	E3-9 E3-10 E3-11 E3-13 E3-14 E3-15 P3-2A P3-3A	P3-5A P3-6A P3-2B P3-3B P3-4B P3-5B P3-6B	P3-2A P3-3A P3-2B P3-3B		
Explain the four steps necessary to prepare a production cost report.	Q3-8	Q3-9		Q3-14 Q3-15 Q3-18 BE3-5 BE3-6 BE3-7 BE3-8 DI3-4 E3-3 E3-5 E3-6	E3-7 E3-8 E3-9 E3-10 E3-11 E3-13 E3-14 E3-15 E3-16 E3-17	E3-18 E3-19 P3-2A P3-3A P3-4A P3-5A P3-2B P3-3B P3-4B P3-5B	P3-2A P3-3A P3-2B P3-3B		
7. Prepare a production cost report.	Q3-16 Q3-17 Q3-19			BE3-11 DI3-4 E3-7 E3-11 E3-13	P3-2A P3-3A P3-4A P3-5A P3-6A	P3-2B P3-3B P3-4B P3-5B P3-6B			
*8. Compute equivalent units using the FIFO method.				Q3-21 Q3-22 BE3-10 BE3-11	BE3-12 E3-16 E3-17 E3-18	E3-19 E3-20 P3-7A P3-7B			
Broadening Your Perspective		BYP3-4		BYP3-1			BYP3-2 BYP3-3 BYP3-7	BYP3-5	BYP3-6

BLOOM'S TAXONOMY TABLE

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

- 1. (a) Process cost.
 - (b) Process cost.
 - (c) Job order.
 - (d) Job order.
- 2. The primary focus of job order cost accounting is on the individual job. In process cost accounting, the primary focus is on the processes involved in producing homogeneous products.
- 3. The similarities are: (1) all three manufacturing cost elements—direct materials, direct labor, and overhead—are the same; (2) the accumulation of the costs of materials, labor, and overhead is the same; and (3) the flow of costs is the same.
- 4. The features of process cost accounting are: (1) separate work in process accounts for each process, (2) production cost reports, (3) product costs computed for each accounting period, and (4) unit costs computed based on total manufacturing costs.
- 5. Sam is correct. The flow of costs is the same in process cost accounting as in job order cost accounting. The method of assigning costs, however, is significantly different.
- 6. (a) (1) Materials are charged to production on the basis of materials requisition slips.
 - (2) Labor is usually charged to production on the basis of the payroll register or departmental payroll summaries.
 - (b) The criterion used in assigning overhead to processes is to identify the activity that "drives" or causes the cost. In many companies this activity is machine time, not direct labor.
- 7. The entry to assign overhead to production is:

July 31	Work in Process—Machining	15,000	
-	Work in Process—Assembly	12,000	
	Manufacturing Overhead		27,000

- 8. To prepare a production cost report, four steps are followed: (a) compute the physical unit flow, (b) compute equivalent units of production, (c) compute unit production costs, and (d) prepare a cost reconciliation schedule.
- Physical units to be accounted for consist of units in process at the beginning of the period plus units started (or transferred) into production during the period. Units accounted for consist of units completed and transferred out during the period plus units in process at the end of the period.
- 10. Equivalent units of production measure the work done during the period, expressed in fully completed units.
- 11. Equivalent units of production are the sum of: (1) units completed and transferred out and (2) equivalent units of ending work in process.
- 12. Units started into production were 9,600, or (9,000 + 600).

Questions Chapter 3 (Continued)

13.	Equivalent Units		
	Materials	Conversion Costs	
Units transferred out	12,000	12,000	
Work in process			
500 X 100%	500		
500 X 20%	10.500	100	
Total equivalent units	<u>12,500</u>	<u>12,100</u>	
14. Units transferred out were 3,200*			
Units to be accounted for			
Work in process (beginning)	500		
Started into production	3,000		
Total units	<u>3,500</u>		
Units accounted for			
Completed and transferred out	3,200*		
Work in process (ending)	300		
Total units	<u>3,500</u>		
*3,500 – 300			

- **15.** (a) The cost of the units transferred out is \$112,000, or (14,000 X \$8).
 - (b) The cost of the units in ending inventory is \$8,500, or [(2,000 X \$3) + (500 X \$5)].
- **16.** (a) Ann is incorrect. The report is an internal report for management.
 - (b) There are four sections in a production cost report: (1) number of physical units, (2) equivalent units determination, (3) unit costs, and (4) cost reconciliation schedule.
- **17.** The production cost report provides the basis for evaluating: (1) the productivity of a department, (2) whether unit and total costs are reasonable, and (3) whether current performance is meeting planned objectives.
- **18.** The per unit conversion cost is \$11.25. [Conversion costs = \$6,000 \$2,400 = \$3,600. Equivalent units for conversion costs are 320 (800 X 40%); $$3,600 \div 320 = 11.25 .]
- **19.** Operations costing is similar to process costing in that standardized methods are used to manufacture the product. At the same time, the product may have some customized individual features that require the use of a job order cost system.
- 20. In deciding which system to use, a cost-benefit tradeoff occurs. In a job order system, detailed information related to the cost of the product is involved. The cost of implementing this system is often expensive. In a process cost system, an average cost of the product will suffice and therefore the cost to implement is less. In summary, the cost of implementing the system must be balanced against the benefits provided from the additional information.
- ***21.** Units transferred out were 2,800 (2,000 + 800).
- *22. (a) The cost of the units transferred out is \$120,000 (12,000 X \$10).
 - (b) The cost of the units in ending inventory is $9,500 [(2,000 \times 3) + (500 \times 7)]$.

SOLUTIONS TO BRIEF EXERCISES

BRIEF EXERCISE 3-1

Mar. 31	Raw Materials Inventory Accounts Payable	45,000	45,000
31	Factory Labor Wages Payable	60,000	60,000
BRIEF EX	(ERCISE 3-2		
Mar. 31	Work in Process—Assembly Department Raw Materials Inventory	24,000 21,000	45,000
31	Work in Process—Assembly Department Work in Process—Finishing Department Factory Labor	35,000 25,000	60,000
BRIEF EX	(ERCISE 3-3		
Mar. 31	Work in Process—Assembly Department (\$35,000 X 200%) Work in Process—Finishing Department (\$25,000 X 200%) Manufacturing Overhead	70,000 50,000	120,000

BRIEF EXERCISE 3-4

	Materials	Conversion Costs
January	45,000 (35,000 + 10,000)	$39,000 (35,000 + 4,000^a)$
March	48,000 (40,000 + 8,000)	46,000 (40,000 + 6,000b)
July	61,000 (45,000 + 16,000)	49,000 (45,000 + 4,000°)

- a. 10,000 X 40%b. 8,000 X 75%
- c. 16,000 X 25%

BRIEF EXERCISE 3-5

Total materials		Equivalent units		Unit materials
costs	÷	of materials	=	cost
\$36,000		10,000		\$3.60
Total conversion		Equivalent units		Unit conversion
costs	÷	of conversion costs	=	cost
\$54,000		12,000		\$4.50
Unit materials		Unit conversion		Total manufacturing
cost	+	cost	=	cost per unit
\$3.60		\$4.50		\$8.10

BRIEF EXERCISE 3-6

Assignment of Costs	Equivalent Units	Unit Cost		
Transferred out Transferred out	40,000	\$11		\$440,000
Work in process, 4/30		•	•	
Materials	5,000	\$ 4	\$20,000	
Conversion costs Total costs	2,000	\$ 7	<u>14,000</u>	34,000 \$474,000

BRIEF EXERCISE 3-7

	Equivalent units		Unit materials
÷	of materials	=	cost
	20,000		\$.80
	Equivalent units		Unit conversion
÷	of conversion costs	=	cost
	19,000		\$2.50
		 of materials 20,000 Equivalent units of conversion costs 	 of materials = 20,000 Equivalent units of conversion costs =

***\$29,500 + \$18,000**

BRIEF EXERCISE 3-8

Costs accounted for			
Transferred out	(18,000 X \$3.30)		\$59,400
Work in process			
Materials	(2,000 X \$.80)	\$1,600	
Conversion costs	(1,000* X \$2.50)	2,500	4,100
Total costs	•		<u>\$63,500</u>

^{*2,000} X 50%

BRIEF EXERCISE 3-9

	(a) Materials	(b) Conversion Costs
Units transferred out	8,000	8,000
Work in process, November 30		
Materials (7,000 X 100%)	7,000	
Conversion costs (7,000 X 40%)		<u> 2,800</u>
Total equivalent units	<u>15,000</u>	<u>10,800</u>

*BRIEF EXERCISE 3-10

Costs to Be Assigned	Assignment of Costs	Equivalent Units	Unit Cost		Total Costs Assigned
	Transferred out Work in process, 3/1	0	\$ 0		\$ 0
\$594,000	Started and completed	30,000	\$18		<u>540,000</u> 540,000
ψ 33 4 ,000	Work in process, 3/31	5.000	. .	* 20 000	
	Materials	5,000	\$ 6	\$ 30,000	F4 000
	Conversion costs	2,000	\$12	24,000	<u>54,000</u> <u>\$594,000</u>

*BRIEF EXERCISE 3-11

	Equivalent Units	
	Materials	Conversion Costs
Units accounted for		
Completed and transferred out		
Work in process, March 1	-0-	-0-
Started and completed	30,000	30,000
Work in process, March 31	5,000	2,000
Total units	35,000	32,000

SANDERSON COMPANY (Partial) Production Cost Report For the Month Ended March 31

COSTS			
		Conversion	
	Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs			
Total costs (a)	<u>\$210,000</u> *	\$384,000**	\$594,000
Equivalent units (b)	35,000	32,000	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)	\$ 6	\$ 12	\$ 18
Costs to be accounted for			
In process, March 1			\$ 0
Costs in March			594,000
Total costs			\$594,000
Costs accounted for			
Transferred out			
In process, March 1			\$ 0
Started and completed			
(30,000 units X \$18)			540,000
In process, March 31			·
Materials (5,000 X \$6)		\$ 30,000	
Conversion costs		•	
(2,000 X \$12)		24,000	54,000
Total costs			\$594,000

^{*35,000} equivalent units X \$6 per unit **32,000 equivalent units X \$12 per unit

*BRIEF EXERCISE 3-12

Total materials		Equivalent units		Unit materials
costs	÷	of materials	=	cost
\$75,000 ¹		20,000		\$3.75

 1 \$8,000 + \$67,000 = \$75,000

Equivalent units **Total conversion Unit conversion** of conversion costs costs cost \$38,000² 19,000 \$2.00

²\$20,000 + \$18,000

SOLUTIONS FOR DO IT! REVIEW EXERCISES

DO IT! 3-1

- **False** 1.
- **False** 2.
- 3. True
- 4. **False**

DO IT! 3-2

Work in Process—Mixing Work in Process—Packaging Raw Materials Inventory (To record materials used)	10,000 28,000	38,000
Work in Process—Mixing Work in Process—Packaging Factory Labor (To assign factory labor to production)	8,000 36,000	44,000
Work in Process—Mixing Work in Process—Packaging Manufacturing Overhead (To assign overhead to production)	12,000 54,000	66,000

DO IT! 3-2 (Continued)

Work in Process—Packaging	21,000	21,000
Finished Goods Inventory Work in Process—Packaging (To record transfer of units to finished goods)		106,000

DO IT! 3-3

- (a) Since materials are entered at the beginning of the process, the equivalent units of ending work in process are 12,000.
 - 20,000 units + 12,000 units = 32,000 equivalent units of production for materials.
- (b) Since ending work in process is only 70% complete as to conversion costs, the equivalent units of ending work in process for conversion costs are 8,400 (70% X 12,000 units).
 - 20,000 units + 8,400 units = 28,400 equivalent units of production for conversion costs.

DO IT! 3-4

- (a) 0 (Work in process, March 1) + 26,000* (Started into production) = 26,000 *22,000 + 4,000
- (b) Equivalent units of production:

	<u>Materials</u>	<u>Conversion</u>
Units transferred out	22,000	22,000
Work in process, March 31	4,000	<u>1,600</u> (4,000 X 40%)
Total	26,000	23,600

DO IT! 3-4 (Continued)

(c)	Cost reconciliation schedule		
` ,	Costs accounted for		
	Transferred out (22,000 X \$18)		\$396,000
	Work in process, March 31		
	Materials (4,000 X \$10)	\$40,000	
	Conversion costs (1,600 X \$8)	12,800	52,800
	Total costs		\$448,800

SOLUTIONS TO EXERCISES

EXERCISE 3-1

- 1. True.
- 2. True.
- 3. False. Companies that produce soft drinks and computer chips would use process cost accounting.
- 4. False. In a job order cost system, costs are tracked by individual jobs.
- 5. False. Job order costing and process costing track the same three manufacturing cost elements.
- 6. True.
- 7. True.
- 8. False. In a process cost system, *multiple* work in process accounts *are* used.
- 9. False. In a process cost system, costs are summarized in a production cost report for each department.
- 10. True.

April 30	Work in Process—Cooking	21,000	
	Work in Process—Canning Raw Materials Inventory	9,000	30,000
30	Work in Process—Cooking	8,500	
	Work in Process—Canning	7,000	
	Factory Labor	•	15,500
30	Work in Process—Cooking	31,500	
	Work in Process—Canning	25,800	
	Manufacturing Overhead		57,300
30	Work in Process—Canning	53,000	
	Work in Process—Cooking		53,000

(a)	Work in process, May 1	400
` ,	Started into production	<u>1,400</u>
	Total units to be accounted for	1,800
	Less: Transferred out	<u>1,500</u>
	Work in process, May 31	300

	work in process, May 31	<u> 300</u>	
(b)	and (c)	Equiva	alent Units
		Materials	Conversion Costs
	Units transferred out	1,500	1,500
	Work in process, May 31 300 X 100%	300	
	300 X 40%	<u>1,800</u>	<u>120</u> <u>1,620</u>
		Direct	
	<u>-</u>	Materials	Conversion Costs
	Work in process, May 1	\$2,040	\$1,550
	Costs added	<u>5,160</u>	<u>4,120</u> *
	Total costs	<u>\$7,200</u>	<u>\$5,670</u>
	Equivalent units Unit costs	<u>1,800</u> \$4.00	<u>1,620</u> \$3.50
	*\$2,740 + \$1,380	<u> </u>	43.23
(d)	Transferred out (1,500 X \$7.50)	\$11,250	
(e)	Work in process		
	Materials (300 X \$4.00)	\$ 1,200	
	Conversion costs (120 X \$3.50	420 \$ 1,620	

1.	Raw Materials InventoryAccounts Payable	62,500	62,500
2.	Factory Labor Wages Payable	60,000	60,000
3.	Manufacturing Overhead CashAccounts Payable	70,000	40,000 30,000
4.	Work in Process—Cutting Work in Process—Assembly Raw Materials Inventory	15,700 8,900	24,600
5.	Work in Process—Cutting Work in Process—Assembly Factory Labor	33,000 27,000	60,000
6.	Work in Process—Cutting (1,680 X \$18)	30,240 30,960	61,200
7.	Work in Process—Assembly Work in Process—Cutting	67,600	67,600
8.	Finished Goods Inventory Work in Process—Assembly	134,900	134,900
9.	Cost of Goods Sold Finished Goods Inventory	150,000	150,000
	Accounts ReceivableSales Revenue	200,000	200,000

(a)		<u>January</u>	May_
	Units to be accounted for		
	Beginning work in process	0	0
	Started into production	<u>11,000</u>	<u>23,000</u>
	Total units	<u>11,000</u>	<u>23,000</u>
	Units accounted for		
	Transferred out	9,000	16,000
	Ending work in process	2,000	7,000
	Total units	<u>11,000</u>	23,000

(b)	(1)	Materials	(2)	Conversion Costs
January		11,000 (9,000 + 2,000)		10,200 (9,000 + 1,200)
March		15,000 (12,000 + 3,000)		12,900 (12,000 + 900)
May		23,000 (16,000 + 7,000)		21,600 (16,000 + 5,600)
July		11,500 (10,000 + 1,500)		10,600 (10,000 + 600)

EXERCISE 3-6

(a)	(1) Materials	(2) Conversion Costs
Units transferred out	12,000	12,000
Work in process, July 31	•	·
3,000 X 100%	3,000	
3,000 X 60%	,	1,800
Total equivalent units	15,000	13,800

Materials: $$45,000 \div 15,000 = 3.00 Conversion costs: $($16,200 + $18,300) \div 13,800 = 2.50

Costs accounted for

Transferred out (12,000 X \$5.50) \$66,000 Work in process, July 31 Materials (3,000 X \$3.00) \$9,000 **Conversion costs (1,800 X \$2.50)** 13,500 4,500 **Total costs** \$79,500

RICHARDS FURNITURE COMPANY Sanding Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended March 31, 2014

		Equiva	lent Units	<u></u>
	Physical		Conversio	n
Quantities	Units	Materials	Costs	<u></u>
Units to be accounted for				
Work in process, March 1	0			
Started into production	<u>12,000</u>			
Total units	<u>12,000</u>			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	9,000	9,000	9,000	
Work in process, March 31	3,000	3,000	600	(3,000 X 20%)
Total units	12,000	<u>12,000</u>	9,600	
			Conversio	
Costs		<u>Materials</u>	Costs	Total
Unit costs				
Total cost		<u>\$33,000</u>	<u>\$60,000</u> *	<u>\$93,000</u>
Equivalent units		<u>12,000</u>	<u>9,600</u>	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)		<u>\$2.75</u>	<u>\$6.25</u>	<u>\$9.00</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, March 1				\$ 0
Started into production				93,000
Total costs				<u>\$93,000</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule				
Costs accounted for				
Transferred out (9,000 X \$9.00)				\$81,000
Work in process, March 31				Ŧ, 5
Materials (3,000 X \$2.75)			\$8,250	
Conversion costs (600 X \$6.25)			3,750	12,000
Total costs				<u>\$93,000</u>

***\$24,000 + \$36,000**

(a)		(1)	(2) Conversion	
		Materials	Costs	
	Units transferred out Work in process, April 30	17,000	17,000	
	1,000 X 100%	1,000		
	1,000 X 40%	<u> </u>	<u>400</u>	
		<u>18,000</u>	<u>17,400</u>	
(b)			Conversion	
		Materials	Costs	Total
	Total cost	\$900,000 ⁽¹⁾	\$435,000 ⁽²⁾	\$1,335,000
	Equivalent units	<u> 18,000</u>	<u>17,400</u>	_
	Unit costs	<u>\$ 50</u>	<u>\$ 25</u>	<u>\$ 75</u>
	⁽¹⁾ \$100,000 + \$800,000			
	⁽²⁾ \$ 70,000 + \$365,000			
(c)	Transferred out (17,000 X \$	6 7 5)		\$1,275,000
	Work in process Materials (1,000 X \$50	11	\$50,000	
	Conversion costs (40	•		60,000
	201140131011 00313 (40	υ Α ΨΈυ	10,000	

EXERCISE 3-9

(a) Materials: 34,000* + 6,000 = 40,000

Conversion costs: $34,000* + (6,000 \times 40\%) = 36,400$

*40,000 - 6,000

Total costs

(b) Materials: \$72,000/40,000 = \$1.80

Conversion costs: (\$81,000 + \$101,000)/36,400 = \$5.00

(c) Transferred out: $34,000 \times $6.80 = $231,200$ **Ending work in process:**

> Materials (6,000 X \$1.80) \$10,800 **Conversion costs (2,400 X \$5.00)** 12,000

> Total \$22,800

(a)		Physical			
		<u>Units</u>		Equivalen	it Units
	Beginning work in process	20,000			
	Units started into production	<u>164,000</u>			
	-	184,000		Conversi	on
			Materials	Costs	
	Units transferred out	160,000	160,000	160,000	
	Ending work in process	24,000	24,000	14,400	(60% X 24,000)
	•	184,000	184,000	<u>174,400</u>	,
(b)			Conv	ersion	
(D)		Na-4			Tatal
		<u>Materials</u>		<u>sts</u>	<u>Total</u>
	Costs incurred	<u>\$101,200</u>	<u>\$34</u>	<u>8,800</u>	<u>\$450,000</u>
	Equivalent units	<u> 184,000</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>4,400</u>	
	Unit costs	<u>\$0.55</u>	<u> </u>	<u>\$2.00</u>	<u>\$2.55</u>
(c)	Assignment of costs:				
(0)	Transferred out (160,000 X	(¢2 55)			\$408,000
	•	ά φ2.55)			φ 4 00,000
	Ending work in process		^ 4		
	Materials (24,000 X \$.55)			3,200	
	Conversion costs (14,40	0 X \$2.00)	_2	<u>8,800</u>	42,000
	Total costs				<u>\$450,000</u>

(a)		Physical Units
	Work in process, September 1 Units started into production	1,600 <u>38,400</u> <u>40,000</u>
	Units transferred out Work in process, September 30	35,000 <u>5,000</u> <u>40,000</u>

EXERCISE 3-11 (Continued)

		Equivalent Units					
		Materials	Conver	rsion Costs			
	Units transferred out Work in process	35,000	3	5,000			
	5,000 X 100% 5,000 X 10%	5,000		500			
		40,000	<u>3</u>	<u>5,500</u>			
(b)	Work in process, September 1	<u>Materials</u>					
	Direct materials	\$ 20,000					
	Costs added to production during September	177,200					
	Total materials cost	<u>\$197,200</u>					
	\$197,200 ÷ 40,000 = \$4.93 (Materials cost per unit)						
		Conversion C	osts_				
	Work in process, September 1 Conversion costs	\$ 43,180					
	Costs added to production during September						
	Conversion costs (\$125,680 + \$257,140)	382,820					
	Total conversion costs	<u>\$426,000</u>					
	\$426,000 ÷ 35,500 = \$12.00 (Conversi	on cost per un	it)				
(c)	Costs accounted for						
	Transferred out (35,000 X \$16.93) Work in process, September 30			\$592,550			
	Materials (5,000 X \$4.93)	\$24	l,650				
	Conversion costs (500 X \$12.00) Total costs		5,00 <u>0</u>	30,650 \$623,200			

To: David Skaros

From: Student

Re: Ending inventory

The reason for any confusion related to your department's ending inventory quantity stems from the fact that the quantity can be measured in two different ways, depending on what the information is used for.

The ending inventory quantity can be measured in physical units or equivalent units. Physical units are actual units present without regard to the stage of completion. Your department's ending inventory in physical units is at least double the amount reported as equivalent units.

Equivalent units measure the work done on the physical units, expressed in terms of fully completed units. Therefore, if your ending inventory contains 4,000 units which are 50% complete, that is equivalent to having 2,000 completed units at month end. Therefore, the ending inventory could be expressed as containing 4,000 physical units or 2,000 equivalent units.

I hope this clears up any misunderstandings. Please contact me if you have any further questions.

THORPE COMPANY Welding Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended February 28, 2014

		Equiva	lent Units	_
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	n _
	(Step 1)	(S	tep 2)	
Units to be accounted for				
Work in process, February 1	15,000			
Started into production Total units	<u>45,000</u> <u>60,000</u>			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	49,000	49,000	49,000	
Work in process, February 28	11,000	11,000	2,200	(11,000 X 20%)
Total units	<u>60,000</u>	<u>60,000</u>	<u>51,200</u>	(11,000 X 2070)
			Conversio	n
Costs		Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Total cost	(a)	\$198,000 ⁽¹⁾	\$128,000 ⁽²⁾	<u>\$326,000</u>
Equivalent units	(b)	60,000	<u>51,200</u>	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)		<u>\$3.30</u>	<u>\$2.50</u>	<u>\$5.80</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, February 1				\$ 32,175
Started into production				293,825
Total costs				<u>\$326,000</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for				
Transferred out (49,000 X \$5.80)				\$284,200
Work in process, February 28				,,
Materials (11,000 X \$3.30)			\$36,300	
Conversion costs (2,200 X \$2.50)			<u>5,500</u>	<u>41,800</u>
Total costs				<u>\$326,000</u>

⁽¹⁾\$18,000 + \$180,000

⁽²⁾\$14,175 + \$52,380 + \$61,445

(a)	Containers in transit, April 1 Containers loaded	0 1,200
	Total containers	<u>1,200</u>
	Containers off-loaded	850
	Containers in transit, April 30	<u>350</u>
	Total containers	<u>1,200</u>

			Equiva	lent Units
(b)		Physical	Direct	Conversion
		Units	<u>Materials</u>	Costs
	Containers off-loaded	850	850	850
	Containers in transit, April 30	350	<u>140</u> *	<u>70</u> **
	Total equivalent units		990	<u>920</u>
	*350 x 40% = 140			
	**350 x 20% = 70			

EXERCISE 3-15

(a)		Conversion
	Materials	Costs
Applications transferred out	800	800
Work in process, September 30	<u>200</u> *	<u>120</u> **
Equivalent units	<u>1,000</u>	<u>920</u>

(b)

Materials: $$5,500 \div 1,000 = 5.50

Conversion costs: $$25,300* \div 920 = 27.50

Costs accounted for:

Transferred out (800 X \$33.00) \$26,400

Work in process, September 30

Materials (200 X \$5.50) \$1,100

Conversion costs (120 X \$27.50) 3,300 4,400

Total costs \$30,800

*(\$3,960 + \$12,000 + \$9,340)

		Equivalent Units		
(a)	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	
Applications completed:				
Work in process, September 1	100	0	60	
Started and completed	700	700	700	
Work in process, September 30	200	<u>200</u>	<u>120</u>	
Total units	1,000	900	880	

(b)

Materials: $$4,500 \div 900 = 5.00

Conversion costs: $$21,340* \div 880 = 24.25

*(\$12,000 + \$9,340)

Costs accounted for:

Applications completed:

Work in process, September 1	\$4,960		
Conversion costs (60 x \$24.25)	1,455	\$ 6,415	
Started and completed (700 x \$29.25)		20,475	\$26,890
Work in process, September 30:			
Materials (200 x \$5.00)		1,000	
Conversion costs (120 x \$24.25)		2,910	3,910
Total costs			\$30,800*

^{*}Total costs to be accounted for: \$1,000 + \$3,960 + \$4,500 + \$12,000 + \$9,340 = \$30,800

(a) (1) Materials:

	Physical	Materials Added	Equivalent
Production Data	Units	This Period	Units
Work in process, August 1	0	0	0
Started and completed	8,000	100%	8,000
Work in process, August 31	2,000	100%	2,000
Total	<u>10,000</u>		<u>10,000</u>

(2) Conversion Costs:

	Physical	Work Added	Equivalent
Production Data	Units	This Period	Units
Work in process, August 1	0	0	0
Started and completed	8,000	100%	8,000
Work in process, August 31	2,000	40%	<u>800</u>
Total	<u>10,000</u>		<u>8,800</u>

(b) Unit costs are:

Materials $$45,000 \div 10,000 = 4.50 Conversion costs $$30,800^* \div 8,800 = \underline{3.50}$ Total \$8.00

*\$14,700 + \$16,100

Costs to Be Assigned	Assignment of Costs	Equivalent Units	Unit Cost		Total Costs Assigned
Total mfg. costs	Transferred out				
	Work in process, August 1	0	\$0	\$ 0	
\$75,800 (1)	Started and completed	8,000	\$8	64,000	\$64,000
	Work in process, August 31				
	Materials	2,000	\$4.50	\$ 9,000	
	Conversion costs	800	\$3.50	2,800	11,800 \$75,800

(1) \$45,000 + \$14,700 + \$16,100.

(a)	(1)	Materials	Physical Units	Materials Added This Period	Equivalent Units
		Work in process,			
		September 1	2,000	0%	0
		Started and completed Work in process,	9,000	100%	9,000
		September 30	1,000	100%	1,000
		Total	12,000		<u>10,000</u>
	(2)		Physical	Work Added	Equivalent
		Conversion Costs	Units	This Period	Units
		Work in process,			
		September 1	2,000	80%	1,600
		Started and completed	9,000	100%	9,000
		Work in process,	·		·
		September 30	1,000	40%	400
		Total	12,000		11,000

(b)	Materials	\$ 60,000 ÷ 10,000 = \$ 6
	Conversion costs	\$132,000 ÷ 11,000 = <u>12</u>
		<u>\$18</u>

(c)	Costs to Be Assigned	Assignment of Costs	Equivalent Units	Unit Cost		Total Costs Assigned
	Total mfg. costs	Transferred out				
	_	Work in process, 9/1	0	\$ 0	\$15,200	
		Conversion costs	1,600	\$12	19,200	\$ 34,400
	\$207,200*	Started and completed Total costs transferred or Work in process, 9/30	9,000 ut	\$18		<u>162,000</u> 196,400
		Materials	1,000	\$ 6	\$6,000	
		Conversion costs Total costs	400	\$12	4,800	10,800 \$207,200

^{*}Work in process, September 1, \$15,200 + materials costs \$60,000 + labor and overhead costs \$132,000.

(a)	Work in process, March 1	800
	Started into production	1,200
	Total units to be accounted for	2,000
	Less: Transferred out	1,500
	Work in process, March 31	500

(b) Materials:

Production Data	Physical Units	Materials Added This Period	Equivalent Units
Work in process, March 1	800	0	0
Started and completed	700	100%	700
Work in process, March 31	<u>500</u>	100%	<u>500</u>
Total	2,000		<u>1,200</u>

Unit cost = $$6,600 \div 1,200 = 5.50 .

(c) Conversion costs:

Production Data	Physical Units	Work Added This Period	Equivalent Units
Work in process, March 1	800	70%	560
Started and completed	700	100%	700
Work in process, March 31	500	40%	200
Total	2,000		1,460

Unit cost = $$2,500 + $1,150 = $3,650 \div 1,460 = 2.50 .

(d)	In process, March 1	\$3,680
	Conversion costs (560 X \$2.50)	1,400
	Total cost	\$5,080

(e) 700 X (\$5.50 + \$2.50) = \$5,600.

(f)	Materials (500 X \$5.50)	\$2,750
	Conversion costs (200 X \$2.50)	500
	Total cost of work in process, March 31	\$3,250

MAJESTIC COMPANY Welding Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended February 28, 2014

		• •		
		Equiva	lent Units	_
	Physical		Conversion	1
Quantities	Units	Materials	Costs	_
	(Step 1)	(St	ep 2)	_
Units to be accounted for		•		
Work in process, February 1	15,000			
Started into production	<u>64,000</u>			
Total units	<u>79,000</u>			
Units accounted for				
Completed and transferred out				
Work in process, February 1	15,000	0	13,500	(15,000 X 90%)
Started and completed	<u>39,000</u> *	<u>39,000</u>	<u>39,000</u>	
Total	54,000	39,000	52,500	
Work in process, February 28	<u>25,000</u>	<u>25,000</u>	<u>5,000</u>	(25,000 X 20%)
Total units	<u>79,000</u>	<u>64,000</u>	<u>57,500</u>	
*(64,000 – 25,000)				
			Conversion	1
Costs		Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Costs in February	(a)) <u>\$192,000</u> (1) <u>\$103,500</u>	(2) <u>\$295,500</u>
Equivalent units	(b) <u>64,000</u>	<u>57,500</u>	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)		<u>\$3.00</u>	<u>\$1.80</u>	<u>\$4.80</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, February 1				\$ 32,175
Started into production				295,500
Total costs				<u>\$327,675</u>

*EXERCISE 3-20 (Continued)

<u>Cost Reconciliation Schedule</u> <u>Costs accounted for (Step 4)</u>

Transferred out

Work in process, February 1 \$32,175

Costs to complete beginning

work in process Conversion costs

(13,500 X \$1.80)

24,300

Total costs \$ 56,475

Units started and completed (39,000 X \$4.80) <u>187,200</u>

Total costs transferred out

Work in process, February 28

Materials (25,000 X \$3.00) 75,000

Conversion costs (5,000 X \$1.80) 9,000

Total costs <u>\$327,675</u>

(1) Cost of materials added \$57,000 plus costs transferred in \$135,000.

(2) Labor \$35,100 plus overhead \$68,400.

\$243,675

SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEMS

PROBLEM 3-1A

1.	Raw Materials InventoryAccounts Payable	300,000	300,000
2.	Work in Process—Mixing Work in Process—Packaging Raw Materials Inventory	210,000 45,000	255,000
3.	Factory Labor Wages Payable	258,900	258,900
4.	Work in Process—Mixing Work in Process—Packaging Factory Labor	182,500 76,400	258,900
5.	Manufacturing OverheadAccounts Payable	810,000	810,000
6.	Work in Process—Mixing (28,000 X \$24) Work in Process—Packaging	672,000	
	(6,000 X \$24)	144,000	816,000
7.	Work in Process—Packaging Work in Process—Mixing	979,000	979,000
8.	Finished Goods Inventory Work in Process—Packaging	1,315,000	1,315,000
9.	Accounts ReceivableSales Revenue	2,500,000	2,500,000
	Cost of Goods Sold Finished Goods Inventory	1,604,000	1,604,000

PROBLEM 3-2A

(a) Physical units

Units to be accounted for Work in process, June 1 Started into production Total units	0 <u>22,000</u> <u>22,000</u>
Units accounted for	
Transferred out	20,000
Work in process, June 30	2,000
Total units	22,000

(b) Equivalent units

	<u>Materials</u>	Conversion Costs
Units transferred out	20,000	20,000
Work in process, June 30		
2,000 X 100%	2,000	
2,000 X 40%	<u> </u>	<u>800</u>
Total equivalent units	<u>22,000</u>	<u>20,800</u>

(c)	Unit Costs

Materials	\$9.00 (\$198,000 ÷ 22,000)
Conversion costs	\$8.00 (\$166,400* ÷ 20,800)
Total unit cost	\$17.00 (\$9.00 + \$8.00)

^{*\$53,600 + \$112,800}

(d) Costs accounted for

Transferred out (20,000 X \$17.00)		\$340,000
Work in process, June 30		
Materials (2,000 X \$9.00)	\$18,000	
Conversion costs (800 X \$8.00)	6,400	24,400
Total costs		\$364,400

PROBLEM 3-2A (Continued)

(e)

ROSENTHAL COMPANY Molding Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended June 30, 2014

			Equiva	lent Units	
Quantities	Physical Units	 _	Materials	Conversion Costs	- I -
	(Step 1)		(S	tep 2)	
Units to be accounted for	_				
Work in process, June 1	0				
Started into production	<u>22,000</u>				
Total units	<u>22,000</u>				
Units accounted for					
Transferred out	20,000		20,000	20,000	
Work in process, June 30	2,000		2,000	800	(2,000 X 40%)
Total units	<u>22,000</u>		22,000	20,800	
				Conversion	•
Costs			Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)					
Total cost		(a)	<u>\$198,000</u>	<u>\$166,400</u>	<u>\$364,400</u>
Equivalent units		(b)	<u>22,000</u>	<u> 20,800</u>	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)			<u>\$9.00</u>	<u>\$8.00</u>	<u>\$17.00</u>
Costs to be accounted for					
Work in process, June 1					\$ 0
Started into production					<u>364,400</u>
Total costs					<u>\$364,400</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)					
Costs accounted for	•				
Transferred out (20,000 X \$17.00)					\$340,000
Work in process, June 30					Ψ540,000
Materials (2,000 X \$9.00)				\$18,000	
Conversion costs (800 X \$8.00)				6,400	24,400
Total costs				<u> </u>	\$364,400

PROBLEM 3-3A

(a) (1) Physical units

	T12	C10
	Tables	Chairs
Units to be accounted for		
Work in process, July 1	0	0
Started into production	<u>19,000</u>	<u>16,000</u>
Total units	<u>19,000</u>	<u>16,000</u>
Units accounted for		
Transferred out	16,000	15,500
Work in process, July 31	<u>3,000</u>	<u>500</u>
Total units	<u>19,000</u>	<u>16,000</u>

(2) Equivalent units

	T12 Tables	
	Materials	Conversion Costs
Units transferred out	16,000	16,000
Work in process, July 31	2 222	
(3,000 X 100%) (3,000 X 60%)	3,000	1,800
Total equivalent units	<u>19,000</u>	<u>17,800</u>

	C10 Chairs	
	Materials	Conversion Costs
Units transferred out Work in process, July 31	15,500	15,500
(500 X 100%)	500	400
(500 X 80%) Total equivalent units	<u>16,000</u>	<u>400</u> <u>15,900</u>

PROBLEM 3-3A (Continued)

(3) Unit costs

` ,		T12 Tables	C10 Chairs			
	Materials (\$380,000 ÷ 19,000)	\$20				
	(\$288,000 ÷ 16,000)		\$18			
	Conversion costs ($\$338,200^{(a)} \div 17,800$)	19	1.0			
	(\$206,700 ^(b) ÷ 15,900)	*20	<u>13</u> \$31			
	Total	<u>\$39</u>	<u>\$31</u>			
	^(a) \$234,200 + \$104,000					
	^(b) \$110,000 + \$96,700					
(4)	<u>T12 Tables</u>					
	Costs accounted for					
	Transferred out (16,000 X \$39)		\$624,000			
	Work in process	***				
	Materials (3,000 X \$20)	\$60,000	04 200			
	Conversion costs (1,800 X \$19) Total costs	<u>34,200</u>	94,200 \$718,200			
	Total costs		<u> </u>			
C10 Chairs						
	Costs accounted for		4.00 7.00			
	Transferred out (15,500 X \$31)		\$480,500			
	Work in process	¢0,000				
	Materials (500 X \$18) Conversion costs (400 X \$13)	\$9,000 _5,200	14,200			
	Total costs	3,200	\$494,700			
	10(4) 003(3		$\frac{\varphi+\sigma+j}{100}$			

PROBLEM 3-3A (Continued)

(b) SEAGREN INDUSTRIES INC. Cutting Department—Plant 1 Production Cost Report For the Month Ended July 31, 2014

		Equiva	_	
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversior Costs	- 1
	(Step 1)	(St	tep 2)	
Units to be accounted for				
Work in process, July 1	0			
Started into production Total units	<u>19,000</u> <u>19,000</u>			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	16,000	16,000	16,000	
Work in process, July 31 Total units	3,000 19,000	3,000 19,000	<u>1,800</u> <u>17,800</u>	(3,000 X 60%)
			Conversion	
Costs		<u>Materials</u>	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Total cost	(a)) <u>\$380,000</u>	<u>\$338,200</u>	<u>\$718,200</u>
Equivalent units	(b)		<u>17,800</u>	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)		<u>\$ 20</u>	<u>\$ 19</u>	<u>\$ 39</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, July 1				\$ 0
Started into production				718,200
Total costs				<u>\$718,200</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for				
Transferred out (16,000 X \$39)				\$624,400
Work in process, July 31				
Materials (3,000 X \$20)			\$60,000	
Conversion costs (1,800 X \$19) Total costs			34,200	94,200 \$718,200

PROBLEM 3-4A

(a)			Equivalent Units			
			Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	
	Units to be accounted for Work in process, November 1 Started into production Total units Units accounted for Transferred out Work in process, November 30 Total units		35,000 660,000 695,000			
			670,000 25,000 695,000	670,000 25,000 695,000	670,000 <u>10,000</u> * <u>680,000</u>	
	*25,000 X 40%					
	Beginning work in	Materials cost				
	process	\$ 79,000	\$ 48,150 563,850		020 + \$227 020\	
	Added during month Total	<u>1,589,000</u> <u>\$1,668,000</u>	<u>563,850</u> <u>\$612,000</u>	(\$225,	920 + \$337,930)	
	Equivalent units	<u>695,000</u>	<u>680,000</u>			
	Cost per unit	<u>\$2.40</u>	<u>\$.90</u>			
(b)	Costs accounted for Transferred out (670,000 X \$3.30) Work in process, November 30 Materials (25,000 X \$2.40) Conversion costs (10,000 X \$.90) Total costs				\$2,211,000	
				\$60,000 <u>9,000</u>	69,000 \$2,280,000	

PROBLEM 3-4A (Continued)

(c) RIVERA COMPANY Assembly Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended November 30, 2014

		Equival	ent Units	_
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversior Costs	1
Quantities	(Step 1)		ep 2)	_
Units to be accounted for Work in process, November 1 Started into production Total units	35,000 660,000 695,000	10)	ср 2)	
Units accounted for Transferred out Work in process, November 30 Total units	670,000 <u>25,000</u> <u>695,000</u>	670,000 <u>25,000</u> <u>695,000</u>	670,000 10,000 680,000	(25,000 X 40%)
Costs		Materials	Conversior Costs	า Total
Unit costs (Step 3) Total cost Equivalent units Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)	(a) (b)	\$1,668,000 \$695,000 \$2.40	\$612,000 680,000 \$.90	\$2,280,000 \$3.30
Costs to be accounted for Work in process, November 1 Started into production Total costs				\$ 127,150 <u>2,152,850</u> <u>\$2,280,000</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for Transferred out (670,000 X \$3.30) Work in process, November 30 Materials (25,000 X \$2.40)			\$60,000	\$2,211,000
Conversion costs (10,000 X \$.90) Total costs			9,000	69,000 \$2,280,000

PROBLEM 3-5A

(a)	(1)				Equiv	/alent Units
				Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs
		Units to be accounted Work in process, Started into prod Total units	July 1	500 1,250 1,750		
		Units accounted for Transferred out Work in process, Total units *600 X 40%	July 31	1,150 <u>600</u> <u>1,750</u>	1,150 <u>600</u> <u>1,750</u>	1,150 <u>240</u> * <u>1,390</u>
	(2)	Beginning work in process Added during month Total Equivalent units Cost per unit	Materials co \$ 750 2,400 \$3,150 1,750 \$1.80	\$ <u>\$</u>	600 2,875 3,475 1,390	(\$1,580 + \$1,295)
	(3)	Costs accounted for Transferred out Work in process Materials (6 Conversion Total costs	, July 31 00 X \$1.80)	•	\$1,080 <u>600</u>	\$4,945 <u>1,680</u> <u>\$6,625</u>

PROBLEM 3-5A (Continued)

MORSE COMPANY (b) **Basketball Department Production Cost Report** For the Month Ended July 31, 2014

		Equiva	lent Units	
Quantities	Physical Units	<u>Materials</u>	Conversion Costs	
	(Step 1)	(S	tep 2)	
Units to be accounted for				
Work in process, July 1	500			
Started into production Total units	<u>1,250</u> <u>1,750</u>			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	1,150	1,150	1,150	
Work in process, July 31	600	<u>600</u>	240	
Total units	<u>1,750</u>	<u>1,750</u>	<u>1,390</u>	
			Conversion	
Costs		Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Costs in July	(a) <u>\$3,150</u>	<u>\$3,475</u>	<u>\$6,625</u>
Equivalent units	(b	•	<u>1,390</u>	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)		<u>\$1.80</u>	<u>\$2.50</u>	<u>\$4.30</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, July 1				\$1,350
Started into production				<u>5,275</u>
Total costs				<u>\$6,625</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for				
Transferred out (1,150 X \$4.30)				\$4,945
Work in process, July 31				
Materials (600 X \$1.80)			\$1,080	
Conversion costs (240 X \$2.50)			600	<u>1,680</u>
Total costs				<u>\$6,625</u>

PROBLEM 3-6A

(a) Computation of equivalent units:

		lent Units	
	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs
Units accounted for Transferred out Work in process, October 31 (60% materials,	120,000	120,000	120,000
40% conversion costs) Total units	50,000 170,000	30,000 150,000	<u>20,000</u> <u>140,000</u>

Computation of October unit costs

Materials: \$240,000 ÷ 150,000 equivalent units =	\$1.60
Conversion cost: \$105,000 ÷ 140,000 equivalent units =	<u>.75</u>
Total unit cost, October	\$2.35

(b) Cost Reconciliation Schedule

Costs accounted for

Transferred out (120,000 X \$2.35)		\$282,000
Work in process, October 31		
Materials (30,000 X \$1.60)	\$48,000	
Conversion costs (20,000 X \$0.75)	15,000	63,000
Total costs		\$345,000

*PROBLEM 3-7A

(a) Bicycles

(1) Equivalent units—Materials

	Physical Units		Materials Added This Period	Equivalent Units
Work in process, March 1	200		0%*	0
Started and completed	950 (1,2	250 – 300)	100%	950
Work in process, March 31	300	-	100%	<u>300</u>
Total	<u>1,450</u>			<u>1,250</u>

^{*}All materials are added at the beginning of the production process

Equivalent units—Conversion

	Physica Units	I -	Conversi Added This Peri		Equivalent Units
Work in process, March 1	200		20%	(18)	40
Started and completed	950	(1,250 - 300)	100%		950
Work in process, March 31	300		40%		120
Total	<u>1,450</u>				<u>1,110</u>

(2) Unit costs

	<u>Materials</u>	Conversion
Costs in March (a)	\$50,000	\$55,500**
Equivalent units (b)	1,250	1,110
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)	<u>\$ 40</u>	<u>\$ 50</u>

^{**}Direct Labor \$25,500 + Manufacturing Overhead \$30,000

*PROBLEM 3-7A (Continued)

(3) Assignment of costs to units transferred out and in process

Costs to Be Assigned	Assignment of Costs	Equivalent Units	Unit Cost		Total Costs Assigned
Total mfg. costs	Transferred out				
	Work in process, March 1			\$19,280	
\$124,780***	Conversion	40	\$50	2,000	
	Started and completed	950	\$90	85,500	
	Total costs transferred out				\$106,780
	Work in process, March 31				
	Materials	300	\$40	12,000	
	Conversion costs	120	\$50	6,000	18,000
	Total costs				<u>\$124,780</u>

^{***}Work in process, March 1, \$19,280 + Materials \$50,000 + Labor \$25,500 + Overhead \$30,000

Tricycles

(1) Equivalent units—Materials

	Physical Units	Materials Added This Period	Equivalent Units
Work in process, March 1	100	0%*	0
Started and completed	740 (800 – 60)	100%	740
Work in process, March 31 Total	<u>60</u> 900	100%	<u>60</u> <u>800</u>

^{*}All materials are added at the beginning of the production process

Equivalent units—Conversion

•	Physical Units	Conversion Added This Period	Equivalent Units
Work in process, March 1	100	25% (1 – .75)	25
Started and completed	740 (800 – 60)	100%	740
Work in process, March 31	<u>60</u>	25%	<u>15</u>
Total	<u>900</u>		<u>780</u>

*PROBLEM 3-7A (Continued)

(2) Unit costs

	<u>Materials</u>	Conversion
Costs in March (a)	<u>\$30,400</u>	\$35,100 **
Equivalent units (b)	800	780
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)	<u>\$ 38</u>	\$ 45

^{**}Direct Labor \$15,100 + Manufacturing Overhead \$20,000

(3) Assignment of costs to units transferred out and in process

Costs to Be Assigned	Assignment of Costs	Equivalent Units	Unit Cost		Total Costs Assigned
Total mfg. costs	Transferred out				
	Work in process, March 1			\$ 6,125	
\$71,625***	Conversion	25	\$45	1,125	
	Started and completed	740	\$83	61,420	
	Total costs transferred out				\$68,670
	Work in process, March 31				
	Materials	60	\$38	2,280	
	Conversion costs	15	\$45	675	2,955
	Total costs				<u>\$71,625</u>

^{***}Work in process, March 1, \$6,125 + Materials \$30,400 + Labor \$15,100 + Overhead \$20,000

*PROBLEM 3-7A (Continued)

(b)

RONDELI COMPANY Production Cost Report—Bicycles For the Month Ended March 31

		Equival	ent Units
	Physical		Conversion
Quantities	<u>Units</u>	<u>Materials</u>	Costs
	(Step 1)	(Ste	ep 2)
Units to be accounted for			
Work in process, March 1	200		
Started into production	<u>1,250</u>		
Total units	<u>1,450</u>		
Units accounted for			
Completed and transferred out			
Work in process, March 1	200	0	40
Started and completed	950	950	950
Work In process, March 31	300	300	120
Total units	<u>1,450</u>	<u>1,250</u>	<u>1,110</u>
		Conversion	
Costs	Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)			
Costs in March (a)	\$50,000	\$ 55,500	<u>\$105,500</u>
Equivalent units (b)	<u>1,250</u>	<u>1,110</u>	
Unit costs [(a) ÷ (b)]	<u>\$ 40</u>	<u>\$ 50</u>	<u>\$ 90</u>
Costs to be accounted for			
Work in process, March 1		\$ 19,280	
Started into production		105,500*	
Total costs		<u>\$124,780</u>	
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)			
Costs accounted for			
Transferred out			
Work in process, March 1	\$19,280		
Conversion costs to complete	, ,		
beginning inventory (40 X \$50)	2,000		
Started and completed (950 X \$90)	<u>85,500</u>	\$106,780	
Work in process, March 31			
Materials (300 X \$40)	12,000		
Conversion costs (120 X \$50)	6,000	18,000	
Total costs		<u>\$124,780</u>	
*(\$50,000 + \$25,500 + \$30,000)			

PROBLEM 3-1B

1.	Raw Materials InventoryAccounts Payable	25,000	25,000
2.	Work in Process—Blending Work in Process—Packaging Raw Materials Inventory	18,930 9,140	28,070
3.	Factory Labor Wages Payable	25,770	25,770
4.	Work in Process—Blending Work in Process—Packaging Factory Labor	15,320 10,450	25,770
5.	Manufacturing OverheadAccounts Payable	36,500	36,500
6.	Work in Process—Blending (900 X \$28)	25,200 8,400	33,600
7.	Work in Process—Packaging Work in Process—Blending	44,940	44,940
8.	Finished Goods Inventory Work in Process—Packaging	67,490	67,490
9.	Accounts ReceivableSales Revenue	90,000	90,000
	Cost of Goods Sold Finished Goods Inventory	62,000	62,000

PROBLEM 3-2B

(a) Physical units

0
<u>50,000</u>
<u>50,000</u>
47,500
2,500
50,000

(b) Equivalent units

	<u>Materials</u>	Conversion Costs
Units transferred out	47,500	47,500
Work in process, January 31		
2,500 X 100%	2,500	
2,500 X 40%	<u> </u>	<u>1,000</u>
Total equivalent units	<u>50,000</u>	48,500

(c)	Unit Costs
Materials	\$10.20 (\$510,000 ÷ 50,000)
Conversion costs	\$ 5.00 (\$242,500 ÷ 48,500)
Total manufacturing	\$15.20 (\$10.20 + \$5.00)

Costs accounted for

Transferred out (47,500 X \$15.20)		\$722,000
Work in process, January 31		
Materials (2,500 X \$10.20)	\$25,500	
Conversion costs (1,000 X \$5.00)	5,000	<u>30,500</u>
Total costs		\$752,500

PROBLEM 3-2B (Continued)

(e) STEINER CORPORATION Molding Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended January 31, 2014

		Equiva	lent Units	
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	
	(Step 1)	(S	tep 2)	
Units to be accounted for	_			
Work in process, January 1	0			
Started into production Total units	<u>50,000</u> <u>50,000</u>			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	47,500	47,500	47,500	
Work in process, January 31 Total units	<u>2,500</u> <u>50,000</u>	<u>2,500</u> <u>50,000</u>	1,000 (2 48,500	2,500 X 40%)
			Conversion	
Costs		Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Total cost	(a		<u>\$242,500</u>	<u>\$752,500</u>
Equivalent units	(b		<u>48,500</u>	045.00
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)		<u>\$10.20</u>	<u>\$5.00</u>	<u>\$15.20</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, January 1				\$ 0
Started into production				752,500
Total costs				<u>\$752,500</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for				
Transferred out (47,500 X \$15.20)				\$722,000
Work in process, January 31			*	
Materials (2,500 X \$10.20)			\$25,500 5,000	20 500
Conversion costs (1,000 X \$5.00) Total costs			<u>5,000</u>	30,500 \$752,500

PROBLEM 3-3B

(a) (1) Physical units

	R12	F24
	Refrigerators	Freezers
Units to be accounted for		
Work in process, June 1	0	0
Started into production	<u>20,000</u>	<u>20,000</u>
Total units	<u>20,000</u>	20,000
Units accounted for		
Transferred out	16,000	17,500
Work in process, June 30	4,000	2,500
Total units	20,000	20,000

(2) Equivalent units

	R12 Refrigerators	
	Materials	Conversion Costs
Units transferred out	16,000	16,000
Work in process, June 30 (4,000 X 100%)	4,000	
(4,000 X 75%) Total equivalent units	<u>20,000</u>	3,000 19,000

	F24 Freezers	
	Materials	Conversion Costs
Units transferred out Work in process, June 30	17,500	17,500
(2,500 X 100%) (2,500 X 60%)	2,500	1,500
Total equivalent units	<u>20,000</u>	<u>19,000</u>

PROBLEM 3-3B (Continued)

(3) Unit costs

		Refrigerators	Freezers
	Materials (\$840,000 ÷ 20,000)	\$42	
	(\$720,000 ÷ 20,000)		\$36
	Conversion costs ($$665,000^{(a)} \div 19,000$)	35	
	(\$551,000 ^(b) ÷ 19,000)		<u> 29</u>
	Total	<u>\$77</u>	<u>\$65</u>
	(a)\$245,000 + \$420,000		
	(b)\$259,000 + \$292,000		
(4)	R12 Refrigerators		
	Costs accounted for		
	Transferred out (16,000 X \$77)		\$1,232,000
	Work in process		
	Materials (4,000 X \$42)	\$168,000	
	Conversion costs	407.000	
	(3,000 X \$35)		273,000
	Total costs	••••	<u>\$1,505,000</u>
	F04 F		
	F24 Freezers		
	Costs accounted for		¢4 407 500
	Transferred out (17,500 X \$65)	•••	\$1,137,500
	Work in process	¢00 000	
	Materials (2,500 X \$36) Conversion costs	\$90,000	
	COUNCISION COSIS		

R12

43,500

F24

(1,500 X \$29)

Total costs

PROBLEM 3-3B (Continued)

(b)

BORMAN CORPORATION Stamping Department—Plant A Production Cost Report For the Month Ended June 30, 2014

		Equiva	lent Units	
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	
Units to be accounted for	(Step 1)	(S	tep 2)	
Work in process, June 1	0			
Started into production Total units	20,000 20,000			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	16,000	16,000	16,000	
Work in process, June 30 Total units	<u>4,000</u> <u>20,000</u>	<u>4,000</u> <u>20,000</u>	3,000 <u>19,000</u>	(4,000 X 75%)
		M . 4 . • • • • •	Conversion	T .4.1
Costs		<u>Materials</u>	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3) Total cost	(0))	\$665 000	\$4 E0E 000
Equivalent units	(a) (b)	<i>,</i> ——	<u>\$665,000</u> 19,000	<u>\$1,505,000</u>
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)	(\$ 42	\$ 35	<u>\$ 77</u>
Costs to be accounted for Work in process, June 1 Started into production Total costs				\$ 0 <u>1,505,000</u> <u>\$1,505,000</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for Transferred out (16,000 X \$77) Work in process, June 30				\$1,232,000
Materials (4,000 X \$42)			\$168,000	
Conversion costs (3,000 X \$35) Total costs			105,000	273,000 \$1,505,000

PROBLEM 3-4B

(a)				Equiva	lent Units
			Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs
	Units to be accounted Work in process, Started into prode Total units	October 1	25,000 435,000 460,000		
	Units accounted for Transferred out Work in process, Total units	October 31	425,000 35,000 460,000	425,000 35,000 460,000	425,000 14,000* _439,000
	*35,000 X 40%				
	Beginning work in process Added during month Total Equivalent units	\$ 29,000 1,006,000 \$1,035,000 460,000	\$ 16,500 246,900 \$263,400 439,000	(\$138,	900 + \$108,000)
	Cost per unit	\$2.25	433,000 \$.60	•	
(b)	Costs accounted for Transferred out Work in process Materials (3	(425,000 X \$2.8	5)	\$78,750 8,400	\$1,211,250 87,150
	Total costs	7	Ψ.50)	<u> </u>	\$1,298,400

PROBLEM 3-4B (Continued)

(c)

LUXMAN COMPANY Assembly Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended October 31, 2014

		Equival	ent Units	_
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	- - -
Units to be accounted for	(Step 1)	(St	ep 2)	
Work in process, October 1	25,000			
Started into production	435,000			
Total units	<u>460,000</u>			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	425,000	425,000	425,000	
Work in process, October 31	<u>35,000</u>	<u>35,000</u>	<u> 14,000</u>	(35,000 X 40%)
Total units	<u>460,000</u>	<u>460,000</u>	<u>439,000</u>	
			Conversion	1
Costs		Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Total cost	(a	a) <u>\$1,035,000</u>	<u>\$263,400</u>	<u>\$1,298,400</u>
Equivalent units	(k		<u>439,000</u>	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)		<u>\$2.25</u>	<u>\$.60</u>	<u>\$2.85</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, October 1				\$ 45,500
Started into production				1,252,900
Total costs				<u>\$1,298,400</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for				
Transferred out (425,000 X \$2.85)				\$1,211,250
Work in process, October 31				÷ -,—,— • •
Materials (35,000 X \$2.25)			\$78,750	
Conversion costs (14,000 X \$.60)			8,400	87,150
Total costs				\$1,298,400

PROBLEM 3-5B

(a)	(1)				Equiva	lent Units
				Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs
		Units to be accounte Work in process, Started into prod Total units	May 1	500 2,000 2,500		
		Units accounted for Transferred out Work in process, Total units *800 X 40%	May 31	1,700 <u>800</u> <u>2,500</u>	1,700 <u>800</u> <u>2,500</u>	1,700 <u>320</u> * <u>2,020</u>
	(2)	Beginning work in process Added during month Total Equivalent units Cost per unit	\$15,000 \$0,000 \$65,000 2,500 \$26	\$18 <u>52</u> <u>\$70</u>	,000	,020 + \$33,680)
	(3)	Costs accounted for Transferred out Work in process Materials (8 Conversion Total costs	s, May 31	,	\$20,800 	\$103,700 <u>32,000</u> <u>\$135,700</u>

PROBLEM 3-5B (Continued)

(b)

SWINN COMPANY Bicycle Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended May 31, 2014

		Equiva	lent Units	_
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	- 1 -
Units to be accounted for	(Step 1)	(S	tep 2)	
Work in process, May 1	500			
Started into production	<u>2,000</u>			
Total units	2,500			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	1,700	1,700	1,700	
Work in process, May 31	800	800	320	(800 X .40)
Total units	<u>2,500</u>	<u>2,500</u>	<u>2,020</u>	
			Conversion	1
Costs		<u>Materials</u>	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Total cost	(a	a) <u>\$65,000</u>	<u>\$70,700</u>	<u>\$135,700</u>
Equivalent units	(k	o) <u>2,500</u>	<u>2,020</u>	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)		<u>\$26</u>	<u>\$35</u>	<u>\$61</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, May 1				\$ 33,000
Started into production				102,700
Total costs				<u>\$135,700</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for				
Transferred out (1,700 X \$61)				\$103,700
Work in process, May 31				, , , , ,
Materials (800 X \$26)			\$20,800	
Conversion costs (320 X \$35)			11,200	32,000
Total costs				<u>\$135,700</u>

PROBLEM 3-6B

(a) Computation of equivalent units:

		E quiva	lent Units
	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs
Units accounted for Transferred out Work in process, March 31 (60% materials,	66,000	66,000	66,000
20% conversion costs) Total units	<u>20,000</u> <u>86,000</u>	<u>12,000</u> <u>78,000</u>	<u>4,000</u> <u>70,000</u>

Computation of March unit costs

Materials: \$156,000 ÷ 78,000 equivalent units =	\$2.00
Conversion cost: \$98,000 ÷ 70,000 equivalent units =	1.40
Total unit cost, March	<u>\$3.40</u>

(b) Cost Reconciliation Schedule

Costs accounted for		
Transferred out (66,000 X \$3.40)		\$224,400
Work in process, March 31		
Materials (12,000 X \$2.00)	\$24,000	
Conversion costs (4,000 X \$1.40)	5,600	29,600
Total costs		<u>\$254,000</u>

(a) Basketballs

(1) Equivalent units—Materials

	Physical _Units_	Materials Added This Period	Equivalent Units
Work in process, August 1	500	0%*	0
Started and completed	1,400 (2,000 – 60	0) 100%	1,400
Work in process, August 31	<u>600</u>	100%	<u>600</u>
Total	<u>2,500</u>		<u>2,000</u>

^{*}All materials are added at the beginning of the production process

Equivalent units—Conversion

	Physical Units	Conversion Added This Period	Equivalent Units
Work in process, August 1	500	40% (1 – .6)	200
Started and completed	1,400 (2,000	0 – 600) 100%	1,400
Work in process, August 31	600	50%	<u>300</u>
Total	<u>2,500</u>		<u>1,900</u>

(2) Unit costs

	Materials	Conversion
Costs in August (a)	\$1,600	\$2,280**
Equivalent units (b)	2,000	<u>1,900</u>
Unit costs [(a) ÷ (b)]	<u>\$.80</u>	<u>\$1.20</u>

^{**}Direct Labor \$1,280 + Manufacturing Overhead \$1,000

*PROBLEM 3-7B (Continued)

(3) Assignment of costs to units transferred out and in process

Costs to Be Assigned	Assignment of Costs	Equivalent Units	Unit Cost		Total Costs Assigned
Total mfg. costs	Transferred out				
	Work in process, August 1			\$1,125	
\$5,005***	Conversion	200	1.20	240	
	Started and completed	1,400	2.00	2,800	
	Total costs transferred out				\$4,165
	Work in process, August 31				
	Materials	600	.80	480	
	Conversion costs	300	1.20	360	<u>840</u>
	Total costs				<u>\$5,005</u>

^{***}Work in process, August 1, \$1,125 + Materials \$1,600 + Labor \$1,280 + Overhead \$1,000

Soccer balls

(1) Equivalent units—Materials

	Physica Units	I -	Materials Added This Period	Equivalent Units
Work in process, August 1	200		0%*	0
Started and completed	1,850	(2,000 - 150)	100%	1,850
Work in process, August 31	<u> 150</u>		100%	<u> 150</u>
Total	2,200			2,000

^{*}All materials are added at the beginning of the production process

Equivalent units—Conversion

	Physica Units	l -	Conversi Added This Peri	E	quivalent Units
Work in process, August 1 Started and completed Work in process, August 31 Total	200 1,850 <u>150</u> 2,200	(2,000 – 150)	20% 100% 70%	(1 – .8)	40 1,850 <u>105</u> <u>1,995</u>

*PROBLEM 3-7B (Continued)

(2) Unit costs

	<u>Materials</u>	Conversion
Costs in August (a)	\$2,800	\$2,394**
Equivalent units (b)	<u>2,000</u>	<u>1,995</u>
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)	<u>\$1.40</u>	<u>\$1.20</u>

^{**}Direct Labor \$1,000 + Manufacturing Overhead \$1,394

(3) Assignment of costs to units transferred out and in process

Costs to Be Assigned	Assignment of Costs	Equivalent Units	Unit Cost		Total Costs Assigned
Total mfg. costs	Transferred out				
	Work in process, August 1			\$ 450	
\$5,644***	Conversion	40	\$1.20	48	
	Started and completed	1,850	\$2.60	4,810	
	Total costs transferred out				\$5,308
	Work in process, August 31				
	Materials	150	\$1.40	210	
	Conversion costs	105	\$1.20	126	<u>336</u>
	Total costs				\$5,644

^{***}Work in process, August 1, \$450 + Materials \$2,800 + Labor \$1,000 + Overhead \$1,394

*PROBLEM 3-7B (Continued)

(b) HOLIDAY COMPANY Production Cost Report—Basketballs For the Month Ended August 31

		Equivalent Units		
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	
	(Step 1)	(Ste	ep 2)	
Units to be accounted for		•		
Work in process, August 1	500			
Started into production	<u>2,000</u>			
Total units	<u>2,500</u>			
Units accounted for				
Completed and transferred out				
Work in process, August 1	500	0	200	
Started and completed	1,400	1,400	1,400	
Work in process, August 31	<u>600</u>	<u>600</u>	<u>300</u>	
Total units	<u>2,500</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>1,900</u>	
		Conversion		
Costs	Materials	Costs	Total	
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Costs in August (a)	\$1,600	\$2,280	\$3,880	
Equivalent units (b)	2,000	1,900	<u> \$0,000</u>	
Unit costs [(a) ÷ (b)]	<u>\$.80</u>	<u>\$1.20</u>	<u>\$2.00</u>	
Coate to be accounted for				
Costs to be accounted for Work in process, August 1		\$1,125		
Started into production		3,880*		
Total costs		\$5,00 <u>5</u>		
10141 00010		<u> 40,000</u>		
Cost Reconciliation Schedule				
Costs accounted for				
Transferred out				
Work in process, August 1	\$1,125			
Conversion costs to complete	240			
beginning inventory (200 X \$1.20) Started and completed	240 2 800	\$4,165		
(1,400 X \$2.00)	<u>2,800</u>	Ψ4, 10 5		
Work in process, August 31				
Materials (600 X \$.80)	480			
Conversion costs (300 X \$1.20)	360	840		
Total costs		<u>\$5,005</u>		
*(\$1,600 + \$1,280 + \$1,000)				

CURRENT DESIGNS Fabrication Department Production Cost Report For the Month Ended April 30, 2014

			Equivalent Units			
Quantities	Physica Units		Materials	_	nvers	
Quantities			ivialeriais		Cost	<u> </u>
Units to be accounted for	(Step 1	1)		(Step 2)		
Work in process, April 1	30					
Started into production	72					
Total units	<u>102</u>					
Units accounted for						
Transferred out	67 25		67	V 200/)	67	(25 V 400/)
Work in process, April 30 Total units	<u>35</u> 102		<u>7</u> (35 <u>74</u>	X 20%)	<u>14</u> 81	(35 X 40%)
rotal units	102		<u> </u>		<u>0 1</u>	
				Conversion	n	
Costs		M	aterials	Costs		Total
<u>Unit costs</u> (Step 3)						
Total cost*		(a)	\$25,900	\$48,600		<u>\$74,500</u>
Equivalent units Unit costs [(a) ÷ (b)]		(b)	74 \$ 350	<u>81</u> \$ 600		\$ 950
			<u>\$ 330</u>	<u> </u>		<u> 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9</u>
Costs to be accounted for						
Work in process, April 1						\$17,400
Started into production						57,100
Total costs						<u>\$74,500</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)						
Costs accounted for						
Transferred out (67 X \$950)						\$63,650
Work in process, April 30 Materials (7 X \$350)				\$ 2,450		
Conversion costs (14 X \$600))			Ψ 2,430 8,400		10,850
Total costs	,					<u>\$74,500</u>
*Material costs = \$8,400 + \$17,50						
*Material costs = \$8,400 + \$17,50						

Conversion costs = \$9,000 + \$39,600

BYP 3-2 DECISION-MAKING ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION

- (a) The unit cost suggests that Joe took the highest total costs and divided these costs by the units started into production. The highest total costs would be the total costs charged to the Mixing Department (\$88,000 + \$573,000 + \$765,000) divided by the units started during July (100,000 gallons), which results in a per unit cost of \$14.26 (\$1,426,000 ÷ 100,000).
- (b) The principal errors made by Joe were: (1) he did not compute equivalent units of production; (2) he did not use the weighted-average costing method; and (3) he did not assign costs to ending work-in-process.

BYP 3-2 (Continued)

(c)

FLORIDA BEACH COMPANY **Mixing Department Production Cost Report** For the Month Ended July 31, 2014

		Equiva	lent Units	
Quantities	Physical Units	Materials	Conversion Costs	
	(Step 1)	(St	tep 2)	
Units to be accounted for				
Work in process, July 1	8,000			
Started into production	<u>100,000</u>			
Total units	<u>108,000</u>			
Units accounted for				
Transferred out	103,000	103,000	103,000	
Work in process, July 31	<u>5,000</u>	5,000	<u>1,000</u> (5	5,000 X 20%)
Total units	<u>108,000</u>	<u>108,000</u>	104,000	
			Conversion	
Costs		Materials	Costs	Total
Unit costs (Step 3)				
Total cost	(a	a) <u>\$594,000</u>	\$832,000	\$1,426,000
Equivalent units	(k) <u>108,000</u>	104,000	
Unit costs (a) ÷ (b)	·	\$5.50	\$8.00	<u>\$13.50</u>
Costs to be accounted for				
Work in process, July 1				\$ 88,000
Started into production				1,338,000
Total costs				<u>\$1,426,000</u>
Cost Reconciliation Schedule (Step 4)				
Costs accounted for				¢4 200 E00
Transferred out (103,000 X \$13.50)				\$1,390,500
Work in process, July 31			¢27 500	
Materials (5,000 X \$5.50)			\$27,500 8,000	25 500
Conversion costs (1,000 X \$8.00)			<u>8,000</u>	35,500
Total costs				<u>\$1,426,000</u>

- (a) The unit cost of materials is $$150 ($450,000 \div 3,000)$.
- (b) The materials cost of the goods transferred out is \$375,000 (2,500 X \$150). Conversion costs, therefore, are \$225,000 (\$600,000 \$375,000), and per unit conversion cost is \$90 (\$225,000 \div 2,500).
- (c) There are 500 units in ending work-in-process inventory (3,000 started 2,500 transferred out). The materials cost is \$75,000 (500 X \$150). Thus, the conversion costs in the inventory are \$36,000 (\$261,000 \$225,000). \$36,000 divided by \$90 per unit conversion cost equals 400 equivalent units or 80% (400 ÷ 500) complete.

(a) The outer shell of the paintballs is made from a mixture that includes water, sweeteners, food ingredients, and most importantly, gelatin. All of the ingredients used to make paintballs are food grade, biodegradable products. The "paint" filling inside a paintball is comprised of the same inert ingredient used in cough syrup, as well as crayon wax.

After mixing the gelatin and other materials, the mixture is heated, and then spread on rolling drums which create thin gelatin ribbons. Each of the ribbons then passes over a rotating die. The dies are designed so that they can form round capsules. The dies press against each other as they rotate. As the dies meet, both shells are filled with paint, which is injected into the area between the sheets. The two halves then seal as they press against each other to form a filled capsule.

Once the capsules are sealed they drop out of the machine to become paintballs. They pass along a conveyor belt to a tumble drier, then onto a drying rack. Once they are dry, they go into a counting machine, then into a packing machine which packs exactly the correct number of balls into each container.

(b) Materials: water, sweeteners, food ingredients, gelatin, "cough syrup material", crayon wax, and food coloring.

Labor: People would be needed run the various machines.

Overhead: Depreciation and maintenance of the various machines.

It would appear that overhead would be by far the highest cost because the process is very automated. Machines are needed for mixing the gelatin, heating it, rolling it into ribbons, making the capsules, filling the capsules, sorting and drying the capsules, counting the capsules and packing them.

(c) This would appear to be a perfect situation for the use of process costing. Paintballs are a high volume product, and the paintballs are very homogenous. While there may be some differences in various types of paintballs that would merit keeping track of specific costs to make the various types, the primary method of cost determination would be process costing.

COMMUNICATION ACTIVITY

To: Diane Barone, Regional Sales Manager

From: Student, Accounting Manager

Re: Production Cost Reports

Diane, congratulations again on your promotion! It's going to be great working with you. It kind of reminds me of our days at Dairy-Freeze after school (although this work is more fun, and it certainly pays better!).

I'll try to clear up some of the questions you raised in your email. Here in the Snack Foods Division we use process costing rather than the job order system that Special Projects uses. The reason for this is that we produce all our products in a more or less continuous process, even when we run occasional special orders. You see, all our workers are assigned a particular part of the process to control. One might be in charge of making sure the mixing machines work properly, while another verifies the weight of the finished products. Whichever job a worker is assigned, he or she stays with it to completion, or at least the completion of that particular process. That's different from what you had in Special Projects, where workers moved from job to job. That's why we don't usually track the orders separately. Our special orders are for various quantities of the foods we produce, so only the Packing Department needs to be concerned with the particular set of products shipped to the particular customer—which is its ordinary concern anyway.

Your next question was about what an equivalent unit is. Well, you know already that Special Projects bids on various jobs, and then costs are recorded when the jobs are complete. The costs accumulated on jobs that aren't complete are reflected in Work in Process inventory. We in Snack Foods can't use that method for a simple reason—we produce our products in huge batches that we keep going fairly continuously. Or, in other words, we don't have a "job" that we can record as "complete." A batch may contain enough of our product to fill thirty or more orders, so we may have thirty or more "jobs" in each batch. One job may happen to be filled from two batches. Since the cost of each batch is about the same, it isn't worth keeping track of separately.

BYP 3-5 (Continued)

At the end of the month, we need to record what we finished and what still remains undone. Equivalent units are the way we measure the amount of work we have done on our work in process. It's kind of like comparing the contents of 4-ounce cups with the contents of 12-ounce cups. It doesn't make sense to compare by counting the number of cups you have. You need to find out how many ounces you have in one set; then you can get a meaningful comparison with the ounces you have in the other set. We compare by the number of "units" of materials or labor that are required to finish a product completely. If it requires 12 ounces of flour and 15 minutes of labor for a finished bag of pretzels, for example, then the 12 ounces and 15 minutes are "finished equivalents." If we have enough pretzels to fill 30 bags, but we've only spent 5 minutes (or 1/3 of the total required) of labor on them at the end of the month, we could have used the same amount of time and completely finished 10 bags. Thus, we have the "equivalent" of 10 bags worth of labor.

Your last question is the easiest to answer. You get four reports because we use four processes here in Snack Foods Division. Each process has to report its status at the end of every month. It's kind of like we have four miniature factories, each reporting "completion" of a certain number of products. The products from one department are used as raw materials for other departments, so we have a chain of reports. Notice that the units and costs transferred out of Process 1 are the same as the units and costs transferred in to Process 2, and so on.

I hope this helps. Call, write, or email me any time!

- (a) The stakeholders in this situation are:
 - ▶ Jan Wooten, molding department head.
 - ► Tony Ferneti quality control inspector.
 - ► Customers of R. B. Dillman Company.
 - ▶ The department manager of the assembly department.
- (b) Tony is placed in an ethical dilemma. He can offend his department head by disregarding Jan's instructions and lose the support of his supervisor, and maybe lose his job. He can follow Jan's instructions and be in violation of company policy. He can also report Jan's instructions to supervisors (plant superintendent or vice-president of production). The company should make the position of quality control inspector responsible to someone other than the department head. Tony should not report to Jan.

BYP 3-7 CONSIDERING CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

- (a) Some of the costs that the company now faces include:
 - Monetary damages: The company paid \$21.4 million in fines as a result of an OSHA investigation; \$1.6 billion to compensate those affected by the accident; and \$1 billion to repair and update its refinery (plus an additional \$250 million to install safety valves)
 - Bad publicity
 - Lost sales
 - Cost of cleaning up the affected area including transporting workers to the site; housing workers near the site; per diem for cleanup workers; safety equipment for the workers
 - Transportation and storage/disposal fees for any contaminants removed from the area
 - Legal fees associated with lawsuits/settlements
 - Reimburse the Coast Guard for any oil containment equipment provided
 - Possible air/water testing for an extensive time following the accident
- Some steps that the company could have taken to reduce the environmental failure costs include:
 - Install up to date safety equipment
 - Increase the frequency and efficacy of inspections
 - Increase maintenance on older facilities
 - Be responsive to and investigate thoroughly complaints by neighbors and regulators
 - Invest in research to discover safer means of boosting octane
 - Locate plants further away from population centers to the extent possible