## Auditing A Risk-Based Approach to Conducting a Quality Audit 9th Edition Johnstone Solutions Manual

Full Download: http://testbanklive.com/download/auditing-a-risk-based-approach-to-conducting-a-quality-audit-9th-edition-johnst

## Auditing: A Risk Based Approach to Conducting a Quality Audit, 9e

## **Solutions for Chapter 1**

## **True/False Questions**

- 1-1 T
- 1-2 T
- 1-3 F
- 1-4 F
- 1-5 F
- 1-6 F
- 1-7 T
- 1-8 T
- 1-9 T
- 1-9 1
- 1-10 F
- 1-11 T
- 1-12 T

## **Multiple Choice Questions**

- 1-13 B
- 1-14 B
- 1-15 E
- 1-16 E
- 1-17 D
- 1-18 D
- 1-19 C
- 1-20 A
- 1-21 A
- 1-22 B
- 1-23 E
- 1-24 C

## **Review and Short Case Questions**

1-25

The objective of external auditing is to provide opinions on the reliability of the financial statements and, as part of an integrated audit, provide opinions on internal control effectiveness. The value of the external auditing profession is affirmed when the public has confidence in its objectivity and the accuracy of its opinions. The capital markets depend on accurate, reliable, and objective (neutral) data that portray the economic nature of an entity's business and in turn provide a base to judge current progress toward long-term objectives. If the market does not receive reliable data, investors lose confidence in the system, make poor decisions, and may lose © 2014 Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved. May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part.

a great deal of money; ultimately, the system may fail. By providing an independent audit opinion, the capital markets can be assured that the financial data that they are basing their decisions upon are accurate.

### 1-26

The special function performed by the external auditing profession is the attestation to the fairness of the financial statements of clients. The special function helps ensure the reliability and integrity of the financial reporting system. The auditing profession exists to serve the users of an organization's financial statements. These include lenders, investors, management, government, and (indirectly) all individuals who are ultimately affected by the integrity of the financial reporting process. Auditors need to remember that they are serving the public interest and not the interests of client management.

### 1-27

Audit services are needed because there is a:

- Potential bias in providing information.
- Remoteness between a user and the organization or trading partner.
- Complexity in the transaction, information, or processing systems such that it is difficult to determine their proper presentation without a review by an independent expert.
- Need to limit negative consequences that arise from relying on inaccurate information.

### 1-28

The audit enhances the quality of financial statements because the user has the assurance that an independent, qualified professional has examined the financial statements and has rendered an opinion on their fairness. The independence and expertise of the auditor serve as a quality control function to overcome the potential bias of management in presenting the financial statements in a manner that most flatters an assessment of their performance. The audit is designed to add credibility to the financial statements.

An audit does not necessarily guarantee a fair presentation of a company's financial statements, although it does dramatically increase the likelihood that there are no material misstatements in the company's financial statements. The audit provides reasonable, not absolute, assurance about the accuracy of the financial statements. The caveats about fairness exist for two reasons:

• Fairness is judged within a framework of GAAP. Some question whether GAAP results in the fairest possible presentations when there are significant changes in market values of investments or assets. For example, the SEC has encouraged financial institutions to move from using historical cost required by GAAP to market values for all investments in

securities because it believes that market value presents a better picture of economic reality than does historical cost.

• Although designed to detect material fraud, it might be possible that a well-executed audit may still fail to detect fraud.

1-29

Independence means objectivity and freedom from bias. The auditor can favor neither the client nor the third party in evaluating the fairness of the financial statements. The auditor must be independent in fact and in appearance. Independence in fact means the auditor is unbiased and objective. An auditor could be independent in fact if he or she owned a few shares of common stock in an audit client, but might not appear independent to a third party. Independence in appearance means that a third party with knowledge of the auditor's relationship with the client would consider the auditor to be independent. If users don't perceive auditors to be independent then the value of the audit is lacking.

1-30

a. An organization's financial statements should reflect a true and fair view of the organization's financial results. The statements should not favor one user over another. However, the interests of the various users can conflict. By having rules that encourage auditor independence (e.g., not owning stock in the client company, not performing consulting services for a publicly traded audit client), the profession encourages auditor independence.

b.

Management Review performance, make operational decisions, report results to

capital markets

Stockholders Buy or sell stock Bondholders Buy or sell bonds

Financial Institutions Evaluate loan decisions, considering interest rates, terms, and risk

Taxing Authorities Determine taxable income and tax due

Regulatory Agencies Develop regulations and monitor compliance

Labor Unions Make collective bargaining decisions

Court System Assess the financial position of a company in litigation

Vendors Assess credit risk

Retired Employees Protect employees from surprises concerning pensions and other post-

retirement benefits

- a. Susan Birkert had a friend purchase \$5,000 of stock in the company that she was auditing. She lied to KPMG when responding to the firm's yearly written requirements to comply with the firm's independence policies.
- b. Independence in fact means that while Susan might have actually not behaved in a biased manner on the engagement because of the stock she owned, external users may perceive an independence conflict, thus causing the auditor to not be independent in appearance.
- c. The answer to this question will vary by student.

- a. Management may want an independent audit because:
  - An independent assessment of the fairness of presentation enhances the perceived reliability of the financial report and assists the company in obtaining loans or new capital because the investing and lending public will have confidence in the financial figures.
  - The auditor's expertise in related areas may help the client in
    - (a) Tax planning.
    - (b) Preparing tax returns.
    - (c) Selecting and implementing accounting information systems.
    - (d) Identifying sources of capital or loans.
    - (e) Preparing financial forecasts or analyses that may assist the company in obtaining loans or new capital.
    - (f) Determining the efficiency of existing accounting operations.
    - (g) Observing areas in which efficiency and effectiveness of operations might be improved.
  - The auditor's testing and evaluations of controls may provide insights into areas in which improvements could be made.
  - The independent assessment and testing of transactions represent a management control device because individuals know that their work will be tested and evaluated.
  - The threat, as well as the performance, of an audit may act to deter potential fraud on the part of employees.
  - The auditor's expertise may lead to improved financial presentations because of the application of accounting principles or improved financial statement disclosure.
- b. Some of the points that might be discussed by management in determining the nature of the audit firm to engage to conduct the audit:
  - The audit fees for conducting the audit.

- The reputation of the auditor in the community and potential impact of auditor reputation in securing loans or capital.
- The ability of the auditor to assist the firm in expanding the scope of its operations beyond the immediate geographic area.
- The industry-specific knowledge of the potential auditors.
- The individual personnel servicing the company, that is, the involvement of a partner versus other personnel on the engagement.
- Perceived audit expertise in ancillary areas such as tax, financial projections and analysis, mergers and acquisitions, and systems.
- The outcome of any recent peer review performed on the audit firm.
- The satisfaction of other clients with the level of service obtained from the audit firm.
- The ability of the audit firm to use state-of-the art technology on the engagement and to introduce that technology to the client.

(Note: The above list is not inclusive. Students are generally quite good in identifying other factors that may or may not be important and thus provide for excellent classroom discussion.)

c. Several users might be interested in Green Day's financial results, including: management itself, existing or potential creditors, and potential takeover or merger distributorship partners.

### 1-33

Primary Parties Involved in Preparing	Role	
Audited Financial Statements		
Management	Maintains internal controls and prepares	
	reports	
Internal Auditor	Provides internal assurance on internal	
	controls and reports	
Audit Committee	Provides oversight of the reporting process	
	and other parties	
External Auditor	Provides independent audit of internal	
	controls and financial statements	

## 1-34

There exist various types of audit service providers, and they are each suited to auditing different types of clients:

• Large, multi-national audit firms are best suited to auditing large multi-national companies, both publicly traded and privately held.

- Regional audit firms are best suited to auditing relatively small publicly traded companies or medium sized privately held companies.
- Small audit firms are best suited to auditing small privately held companies.

The requirements of those entering the auditing profession are demanding. Audits are performed in teams where each auditor is expected to complete tasks requiring considerable technical knowledge and expertise, along with leadership, teamwork, and professional skills. In terms of technical knowledge and expertise, auditors must understand accounting and auditing authoritative literature, develop industry and client-specific knowledge, develop and apply computer skills, evaluate internal controls, and assess and respond to fraud risk. In terms of leadership, teamwork, and professional skills, auditors make presentations to management and audit committee members, exercise logical reasoning, communicate decisions to users, manage and supervise others by providing meaningful feedback, act with integrity and ethics, interact in a team environment, collaborate with others, and maintain a professional personal presence.

#### 1-36

	Larger Audit Firms	Smaller Audit Firms
Working in a team environment	<ul> <li>multiple teams that typically disband after each audit engagement</li> </ul>	• teams that overlap across engagements
Work specialization	<ul> <li>specialized by function, i.e., audit or tax but usually not both</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>less specialized by function, i.e., an individual may work across functions and industries</li> </ul>
Type of work	<ul> <li>primarily external audit</li> </ul>	may include other assurance services
Organizational culture	<ul> <li>relatively formal</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>relatively less formal</li> </ul>
Staff turnover	<ul> <li>relatively higher</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>relatively lower</li> </ul>

### 1-37

Organization Affecting External	Nature of Effects	
Auditing Profession		
a. Congress	Passed Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
b. PCAOB	Sets audit standards for auditors of public companies	
	and regulates and enforces the external audit	
	profession for auditors of public companies listed on	

	U.S. stock exchanges
c. SEC	Regulates and provides enforcement of the capital
	market system participants, including external
	auditors and public companies; has the authority to
	establish GAAP
d. AICPA	Sets audit standards for auditors of privately held
	companies and administers the CPA exam
e. Center for Audit Quality	Works to enhance investor confidence and trust in
	the financial markets; is affiliated with the AICPA
f. IAASB	Sets audit standards for auditors of public companies
	internationally.
g. COSO	Provides guidance on internal control, enterprise risk
	management, and fraud deterrence.

	PCAOB	AICPA
a)	Sets auditing standards for audits of public companies	Does not set auditing standards for the audits of public firms anymore. It does set standards for audits of non-public companies.
b)	Performs inspections of all firms that are registered with it. Note that all firms that audit public companies are required to register with the PCAOB and are inspected by the PCAOB.	Requires peer reviews of firms enrolled in the AICPA Peer Review Program to assess the quality of their audit practices as it relates to non-public clients. Thus, a firm with both public and non-public clients would be inspected by the PCAOB and be required to have a peer review through the AICPA.
c)	Does not set accounting standards; this responsibility is delegated to the FASB and the SEC.	Does not set accounting standards; this responsibility is delegated to the FASB and the SEC.

a. On the "pro" side, having the same standards makes audits more comparable for the public and the auditors. Nobody has to go through the trouble of reconciling differences in audits. On the

<sup>© 2014</sup> Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved. May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part.

"con" side, standards that seem appropriate for public clients may require more time and effort than necessary to gain comfort with a non-public company's financial statements. Auditors should be able to work in the most efficient way if they are going to be profitable.

- b. Examples could include the following: (1) public companies might have auditing standards that are more applicable to larger and more complex entities, (2) non-public companies might have auditing standards that adjust for weaker internal controls (e.g., lack of segregation of duties), (3) public companies might have audit standards that are geared toward more remote and less-informed users (e.g., shareholders) rather than less remote and better-informed users (e.g., bankers).
- c. This dual structure can create various difficulties, including the following: (1) the same audit firm and its auditor employees have to learn and apply different auditing standards, thereby affecting training costs, (2) there is greater cost to society because multiple standard setters are operating independently, (3) users may be confused by the different standards of the two organizations.

#### 1-40

The requirement that no more than two of the PCAOB board members may be CPAs was put into place to ensure that the Board is not unduly dominated by members of the external audit profession, thereby helping to assure users of financial statements that this important regulator is representing the broad interests of users, not just serving the preferences of the external audit profession.

## 1-41

Audit quality involves performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS) to provide reasonable assurance that the audited financial statements and related disclosures are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and providing assurance that those financial statements are not materially misstated whether due to errors or fraud. The five elements of the FRC's *Audit Quality Framework* include: (1) audit firm culture, (2) skills and qualities of the audit partner and the engagement team, (3 effectiveness of the audit process, (4) factors outside the control of auditors, and (5) reliability and usefulness of audit reporting, including auditor communication of key issues.

### 1-42

- a. Audit firm culture affects audit quality because when it is positive it:
- Creates an environment where achieving quality is valued, invested in and rewarded.

- Emphasizes the importance of 'doing the right thing' in the public interest and the effect of doing so on the reputation of both the firm and individual auditors.
- -Ensures partners and staff have sufficient time and resources to deal with difficult issues as they arise.
- -Ensures financial considerations do not drive actions and decisions having a negative effect on audit quality.
- -Promotes the merits of consultation on difficult issues and supporting partners in the exercise of their personal judgment.
- -Ensures robust systems for client acceptance and continuation.
- -Fosters appraisal and reward systems for partners and staff that promote the personal characteristics essential to quality auditing.
- -Ensures audit quality is monitored within firms and across international networks and appropriate consequential action is taken.

Expert Skills and Qualities of the Audit Partner and Engagement team affect audit quality because they:

- -Affect whether partners and staff understand their clients' business and adhere to the principles underlying auditing and ethical standards.
- -Affect the ability of partners and staff to exercise professional skepticism in their work and to ensure that they are robust in dealing with issues identified during the audit.
- -Affect whether staff performing audit work have sufficient experience and are appropriately supervised by partners and managers.
- -Affect whether partners and managers provide junior staff with appropriate 'mentoring' and 'on the job' training.
- -Affect whether sufficient training is given to audit personnel in audit, accounting and industry specialist issues.

In turn, these factors affect the effectiveness of the audit process itself, which then has further effects on audit quality.

- b. Factors outside the control of the external auditor include forces such as organizational corporate governance and the regulatory environment.
- c. Users care about audit quality because if audit quality is lacking, then the reliability of the audited financial statements is questionable. Users that might care more about audit quality are those with a significant financial stake in the company (e.g., shareholders) or those with significant money to lose if the company's financial statements are inaccurate (e.g., bankers or other lenders).

Independence is vitally important to the auditing profession. Auditors exist to create confidence in the public that financial statements are free from material misstatement. When auditors are not independent, the public cannot necessarily trust that the statements are free from material misstatement, because the public would believe that auditors could have incentives to allow misstatements. Lack of independence was a primary concern prior to adoption of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act because the auditing profession was rapidly losing the public trust that had taken decades to build. At the time, the AICPA was aggressively lobbying to ensure that audit firms could provide both audit and consulting engagements for the same client. Congress saw the need to begin rebuilding this public trust through legislation, since self-regulation (via the AICPA) proved inadequate.

### 1-44

- a. Auditor independence requirements include partner rotation rules (five year rotation on public clients) and prohibition of consulting services for public clients.
- b. Review programs include:
- external inspections/peer reviews, which include inspections by the PCAOB and peer reviews by other audit firms facilitated by the AICPA
- engagement quality reviews, which involves having an audit partner not otherwise involved in the engagement review the major issues and evidence prior to the issuance of the audit report
- interoffice reviews, which are reviews of one office of the audit firm by professionals from another office to assure that the policies and procedures established by the firm are being followed.
- c. Engagement letters state the scope of the work to be done on the audit so that there is no doubt on the part of the client, auditor, or court system as to the expectations agreed to by the external auditor and the client.
- d. Making appropriate client acceptance/continuance decisions involves avoiding accepting or retaining high risk clients.
- e. Evaluating audit firm limitations means ensuring that an audit firm does not undertake an engagement that it is not qualified to handle.
- f. Audit documentation is required to ensure that all work actually done on the audit is described in the audit workpapers.

## 1-45

The seven threats to independence are as follows:

- 1. Self-review threat occurs when the audit firm also provides non-audit work for the client, such as preparing source documents used to generate the client's financial statements. Independence is threatened because it may appear that the auditor is reviewing his or her own work.
- 2. Advocacy threat occurs when the auditor acts to promote the client's interests, such as representing the client in tax court. Independence is threatened because it may appear that the auditor cares more about the client than external users of the financial statements.
- 3. Adverse interest threat occurs when the auditor and the client are in opposition to one another, such as when either party has initiated litigation against the other. Independence is threatened because the auditor may take actions that are intended to weaken the client's chances in the litigation, and may appear to care more about the audit firm and its interests rather than those of the company or external users of the financial statements.
- 4. Familiarity threat occurs when the auditor has some longstanding relationship with an important person associated with the client. Examples include:
- The audit partner's close relative is employed in a key position at the client.
- The audit partner has been assigned to the client for a long period of time and has developed very close personal relationships with top management.
- A member of the audit team has a close personal friend who is employed in a key position at the client.
- A member of the audit team was recently a director or officer at the client.

In each of these examples, independence is threatened because the auditor may act in a way that favors the client or individual employed at the client rather than external users of the financial statements.

- 5. Undue influence threat occurs when client management attempts to coerce or provide excessive influence over the auditor. Examples include:
- Top management threatens to replace the auditor or the audit firm because of a disagreement over an accounting issue.
- Top management pressures the auditor to reduce the amount of work they do on the audit in order to achieve lower audit fees.
- An employee of the client gives the auditor a gift that is clearly significant or economically important to the auditor.

In each of these examples, independence is threatened because the auditor may act in a way that favors the client or individual employed at the client rather than external users of the financial statements.

- 6. Financial self-interest threat occurs when the auditor has a direct financial relationship with the client, such as owning stock in the client company, owing money to the client company, or when the audit client makes up the vast majority of the audit firm's total revenue. Independence is threatened because the auditor's judgment may be unduly influenced by their own financial interests rather than acting in the best interests of external users of the financial statements.
- 7. Management participation threat occurs when the auditor takes on the role of management or completes functions that management should reasonably complete, such as establishing internal controls or hiring/firing client employees. Independence is threatened because the auditor is acting as management, and so would in essence be reviewing his or her own work.

## Safeguards include the following:

- 1. Safeguards created by the profession or regulation. Examples include:
- Education, continuing education, and training requirements
- Professional standards and disciplinary punishments
- External review of audit firms' quality control systems
- Legislation concerning independence requirements
- Audit partner rotation requirements for publicly traded companies, which include mandatory partner rotation after five years of service
- Nonaudit (e.g., consulting) work not allowed for companies for which the auditor provides external audit work
- 2. Safeguards created by the audit client. Examples include:
- Client personnel with expertise to adequately complete necessary management and accounting tasks without the involvement or advice of the auditor
- Appropriate tone at the top of the client company
- Policies and procedures to ensure accurate financial reporting
- Policies and procedures to ensure appropriate relationships with audit firm
- 3. Safeguards created by the audit firm. Examples include:
- Audit firm leadership that stresses the importance of independence
- Audit firm quality control policies and procedures
- Audit firm monitoring processes to detect instances of possible independence violations
- Disciplinary mechanisms to promote compliance with independence policies and procedures
- Rotation of senior engagement personnel

## **Contemporary and Historical Cases**

1-47

a. The objective of external auditing is to provide opinions on the appropriateness of the financial statements and, as part of an integrated audit, provide opinions on internal control effectiveness. The capital markets depend on accurate, reliable, and objective (neutral) data that portray the economic nature of an entity's business and in turn provide a base to judge current progress toward long-term objectives. If the market does not receive reliable data, investors lose confidence in the system.

Forbes failed to exercise due professional care (a standard of care expected to be demonstrated by a competent auditing professional), failed to exercise professional skepticism (an attitude that includes a questioning mind and critical assessment of audit evidence), and failed to obtain sufficient evidence necessary to issue an audit opinion on the financial statements of Alloy Steel's 2006 fiscal year end financial statements. The PCAOB also concluded that Bentleys violated PCAOB quality control standards because the firm did not develop policies to ensure that the work performed by its personnel met PCAOB auditing standards and that the firm did not take care to undertake only audits that the firm could reasonably expect to be completed with professional competence.

- b. Alloy Steel requires an independent audit on its financial statements because it is a publicly traded stock in the U.S., and therefore is required to provide shareholders reliable, independent assurance on those financial statements.
- c. Likely users of Alloy Steel's financial statements include:
- Current and future shareholders and lenders they were likely adversely affected by Forbes' actions because they received an audit report that was signed by an audit firm that did not actually do the audit work on the engagement. As such, audit quality was lacking and the reliability of the audit opinion is lacking.
- Regulators and Standards Setters the SEC and the PCAOB were likely adversely affected because they assume that when a registered audit firm such as Bentley's performs an audit they following relevant auditing standards and do not flagrantly violate those standards.
- d. The auditors required knowledge of international financial accounting standards and U.S. auditing standards as adopted by the PCAOB. The auditors that actually completed the audit work on this engagement were not trained to conduct such an audit, so while they performed audit procedures those procedures were not necessarily appropriate in providing reasonable assurance on the financial statements of Alloy. Further, auditors should conduct an audit with an appropriate level of professional skepticism, which did seem to be the case for this audit.

- e. The key drivers of audit quality are as follows:
- Audit firm culture Bentley's culture was obviously inadequate. The Firm should have ensured that Forbes audit engagement was reviewed. The Firm should have ensured that supervision was in place and should have ensured that the message of "high audit quality" was received and acted upon by its personnel.
- Skills and qualities of the audit partner and engagement team. The case does not speak to the skills and qualities of the engagement team because Bentley's employees, other than Forbes, were not involved. Rather, the case speaks to the low ethical and professional standards of Forbes, who knowingly signed an audit report without following any relevant professional guidance.
- Effectiveness of the audit process. This was clearly lacking because Bentley's personnel did not conduct the engagement, Forbes did not review or obtain evidence necessary to issue an audit opinion, and the auditors from the other audit firm that actually conducted the audit tests were not trained to do so in accordance with U.S. auditing standards.
- Factors outside the control of the external auditor case facts do not speak to this driver of audit quality.
- Reliability and usefulness of audit reporting. This was clearly a sham audit. It does not provide reliable or useful information for users of the financial statements.

- a. While managers are the individuals actually responsible for the financial statements and they are the ones actually committing the fraud, auditors are responsible for providing assurance that those financial statements are not materially misstated. In the case of Enron, the auditors failed to stand up to management's aggressive and fraudulent financial reporting, and failed to alert users about the company's true financial condition.
- b. Independence is important in terms of both fact and in terms of appearance. Duncan did not violate any independence standards that existed at the time. Andersen had every right to provide both consulting and audit services to Enron, because professional standards at the time did not prohibit such activities. Still, when consulting revenues are very high, users may perceive that the auditor is not independent. In this case, Duncan's advocacy for Enron's aggressive accounting choices leads to the inference that he wished to please Enron management in order to continue providing the auditing and lucrative consulting services. When this is the perspective of the auditor, it is easy to see why quality auditing may take a "back seat".
- c. There were many user groups adversely affected by the fraud:
- Management and other Enron employees. Those not involved in the fraud lost the value of their Enron stock holdings, and lost their jobs. Their resumes will be forever tainted by their association with Enron.

- Stockholders, bondholders, lending institutions, retired employees, and vendors. Lost the value of their investments and the collectability of amounts owed to them.
- Regulatory Agencies. Lost credibility because they did not "catch" the fraud earlier and did not adequately monitor the aggressive behaviors of Enron.
- Andersen employees. Those not involved in the fraud received a terrible punishment the downfall of their employer. Their resumes are tainted by their association with an audit firm that was found to be acting in a low quality manner.
- d. The SEC and federal regulators were likely suspicious of the overall audit quality of Andersen as an entire firm because of the sequential number of high-profile frauds that revealed audit failures by Andersen. That, coupled with the document shredding, likely led to the conclusion that this audit firm needed to be stopped before it continued to act in a low quality manner.

## **Application Activities**

1-49

Answers to this question will vary depending on the date of access to the website. See *Auditing in Practice* feature "Who are the Leaders of the PCAOB" for this information as of 2012.

1-50

Answers to this question will vary depending on the date of access to the website.

1-51

Answers to this question will vary depending on the date of access to the website.

1-52

- a. The purpose of this exercise is to exhibit the numerous cases brought by the SEC, as well as to provide the student with practice in understanding and analyzing the cases.
- b. The purpose of this exercise is to exhibit the constantly evolving nature of professional accounting guidance that is provided by the SEC. Many of the recent SABs relate to codification issues. A more recent SAB that deals with technical accounting issues with which an auditor should be familiar is SAB 111.

1-53

a. The website notes the following:

"The PCAOB is a private sector, nonprofit corporation, created by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to oversee the auditors of companies in order to protect the interests of investors and further the public interest in the preparation of informative, fair and independent audit reports."

With respect to its authority to discipline auditors, the website notes:

"The PCAOB has authority to investigate and discipline registered public accounting firms and persons associated with those firms for noncompliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the rules of the PCAOB and the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other laws, rules, and professional standards governing the audits of public companies. When violations are found, the PCAOB can impose appropriate sanctions."

## b. The website indicates:

"The PCAOB enforcement staff conducts informal inquiries as well as formal investigations that arise from several sources including: PCAOB inspections of registered firms, PCAOB research and analysis, other regulators, public disclosures of restatements and auditor changes, news reports, and confidential tips from the public."

- c. There are many instances that the students can point to, all of which relate to the respondents' audits of American Fiber Green Products, Inc. Some examples include: the audit was not properly planned, only a few auditing procedures were performed and significant account balances and transactions were not tested, and documentation was incomplete.
- d. The PCAOB registration of the firm was revoked. Further, the individual auditor was barred from being associated with a registered public accounting firm basically prohibiting the auditor from auditing public clients. Most students will agree that the sanctions seem appropriate, and some students may even argue for additional sanctions (e.g., fines).

### **Academic Research Case**

### 1-54

a. The issue relates to public accounting career paths that extend beyond the senior manager level. Specifically, the historically expected partner position is compared to the relatively new rise of post senior manager (PSM) positions. PSM is used to refer to all salaried titles higher than senior manager that exclude any equity stake. In practice, titles such as director and principal are generally used to refer to PSMs.

The authors provide information on PSM positions, including titles used for the position, responsibilities as compared with partners, factors in determining why a PSM track would be pursued, costs and benefits of the PSM position to the firm, and gender trends.

b. The paper finds that most firms responding to the survey (57%) do have PSM positions, and they were generally created over the last decade as an alternative to the partner track. Firm leadership tends to highly influence which path a rising auditor takes. The same PSM title's hierarchy varies across separate firms, though even the highest PSM title tends to be viewed as inferior to partner level.

Even within a specific firm, the same PSM title does not have homogeneous duties. However, usually the PSMs focus on daily engagement management and staff development, while the partner's focus is on new business development. As the partner's workload increases, the existence of the PSM position allows him/her to delegate partner-level work into capable hands. Due to this and other factors, PSMs tend to bill hours that are greater-than-or-equal-to that of partners.

The PSM position is beneficial to the firm since it encourages talent retention which improves client service and technical expertise. No specific agreed-upon negative impact of PSM positions was found, though the survey indicated that at most, PSM positions may "somewhat" divert candidates with partner potential away from that equity track.

The gender findings suggest that women are highly more likely to be promoted to PSM positions rather than partner.

c. An understanding of the different career paths' duties and hierarchy from a source outside of the firm helps practicing auditors who reach senior manager level better understand which career path is more personally appealing. This outsider examination helps eliminate the bias of information that may come from within the firm when a partner or PSM tries to influence the senior manager in a certain direction through coaching.

For current PSMs, it enhances their understanding of the perceptions of their role from the viewpoints of outsiders and partners, including any possible inferiority that is implied.

For current partners, a comparison of costs and benefits, as well as gender trends, helps them make better decisions as they guide the composition of their firms through promotion decisions.

Since the career path is dictated by firm leadership, senior managers interested in partner now know just how imperative it is to demonstrate exceptional sales talent because they can be easily coerced into a terminal PSM role if not.

Although the perception of inferiority looms, senior managers that prefer audit work versus closing sales may actually gravitate towards PSM roles to fulfill personal needs. Since they tend

## Auditing A Risk-Based Approach to Conducting a Quality Audit 9th Edition Johnstone Solutions Manual

Full Download: http://testbanklive.com/download/auditing-a-risk-based-approach-to-conducting-a-quality-audit-9th-edition-johnst

to bill more hours and are delegated partner-level work, the respect for and pride associated with PSM roles may increase over time as knowledge increases. PSMs might be viewed as making greater contributions to the audit profession since they're immersed in doing the actual work, whereas partners have a sales focus.

Regardless of individual preferences and abilities, the profession may see an increased filing of gender discrimination lawsuits if women continue to comprise a minority of the partner positions.

- d. A two stage method was used whereby the authors first conducted a survey that solicited responses from HR Directors employed at the top 100 public accounting firms, as well as the Big 4 firms. The survey results presented are based on 52 respondents. Secondly, structured interviews were conducted with two senior partners, one with a Big 4 firm and the other with a large regional firm. The purpose of the interviews was to explore further some of the issues raised by the survey data.
- e. Sample size was a limiting factor, with 52 firms responding, and only 30 of the firms having a PSM position. With only 19 firms providing responses to questions on gender issues, the gender findings may be considered somewhat inconclusive. Furthermore, the interviews were conducted with only two 2 partners. This study is subject to the limitations common in survey research (e.g., response bias, representativeness of the overall population).